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#### Abstract

Sex determination is essential to the reproductive success of an individual in sexually reproducing species, but the system of sex determination has evolved variously among organisms. In most sexually reproducing species, the sex of an individual is determined by combination of sex chromosomes. On the sex chromosome, different species have different types of a sex-determining gene, which is the most primary factor for the gonadal differentiation. I conducted evolutionary genetics research on the emergence and evolution of sex chromosomes and a primary sex-determination gene in mammals to better understand the evolution of sex determination systems. In Chapter 1, I provided a general introduction to this study and described the aims of this research.

In mammals, the sex-determining region $\mathrm{Y}(S R Y)$ is a testis-determining gene on the Y chromosome. Chapter 2 described the molecular evolution of mammalian male-determining $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes. By comparing marsupial and eutherian $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes, I attempted to elucidate how $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes evolved and proposed a new scenario for explaining how the specialized function of male determination developed independently in marsupials and eutherians. The results revealed that the functional differentiation of the marsupial $S R Y$ differed from that of the eutherian. The lineage-specific changes that have been observed in the $S R Y$ and other sex determination-related genes (SOX9 and Ad4BP/SF-1) implied that molecular coevolution of genes has occurred in the sex determination system of eutherians.


In Chapter 3, I proposed how therian (marsupial and eutherian) sex chromosomes became differentiated. Essentially, the X and Y chromosomes of these taxa originated from a pair of autosomes, with this differentiation of sex chromosomes being attributable to the suppression of recombination. Although a previous hypothesis proposed that X and Y differentiation in therian ancestors arose through a two-step process (called "evolutionary strata 1 and 2"; Lahn and Page, 1999), I posited that this differentiation arose only once and the entire sex chromosome differentiated simultaneously in the therian ancestor. However gene conversion in eutherians reduced the nucleotide divergence between some gametologs, which meant that they could subsequently be categorized as different strata. Based on these findings, I provided a new scenario to explain the differentiation of mammalian sex chromosomes by considering the effects of genomic rearrangements, such as a chromosomal inversion, on the sex chromosome.

Chapter 4 clarified the genome structure and gene family on sex chromosomes. I focused on intrachromosomal segmental duplications (ISDs) that produce tandem and/or inverted repeats ( $>50 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) in neighboring regions; compared to other chromosomes, the X chromosomes of humans possess the highest number of these ISDs. Comparisons of mammalian sex chromosomes revealed that the pattern, number and/or size of ISDs on the chromosomes differed among the examined species (human, mouse, opossum, and platypus). In particular, the characteristics of these structures in the human and mouse X chromosome were shown to be considerably more complicated than those observed in the opossum and platypus. These findings implied that these

ISDs accumulated extensively in the X chromosomes of therian ancestors. I then discussed that the complexity of these structures on the eutherian X chromosome might be correlated with the evolution of multigene families, such as cancer testis antigen genes (CTAs).

In chapter 5, the molecular evolution and genome structure of the melanoma antigen gene (MAGE) family, which is one of CTAs and is located on the X chromosome, was examined in primate genomes. I proposed that human-specific palindromic sequences, including the $M A G E-A$ genes, were conserved by negative selection. Since the MAGE- $A$ genes encode epitopes of cancer cells, the binding capacity of the epitopes to highly divergent human leukocyte antigen (HLA) molecules was preserved. This finding was interesting because it could be used to better understand the significance of genomic structure on the X chromosome.

Chapter 6 provided general discussion about the results presented in Chapters 2 to 5 , including sex determination systems in both mammalian and non-mammalian taxa. In Chapter 7, all of the chapters were summarized and, based on all of the findings presented, I provided a generalized description of evolution of sex determination systems, and described the biological significance of such a system having the apparently contradictory characteristics of evolutionary flexibility and stability. It is my hope that the various results and hypotheses presented here will be tested and examined further using a variety of molecular biology and evolutionary tools.
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## Chapter 1

## General introduction

### 1.1 Sex determination mechanism

Sex determination (SD) is the process of development of reproductive organs and behavior. SD is a vital biological phenomenon in sexually reproducing species, but the mechanism varies among species. SD mechanisms are divided into two broad categories: genotypic sex determination (GSD) and environmental sex determination (ESD). In this introduction, these SD systems are reviewed.

### 1.1.1 Genotypic sex determination (GSD)

GSD systems occur in a large number of organisms that are distributed in three kingdoms of eukaryotes: animals, plants, and fungi. The great majority of GSD systems have a single segregating pair of chromosomes that mediate determination of the sexes, termed sex chromosomes. Among the GSD systems with differentiated sex chromosomes, female heterogametic systems are called $\mathrm{ZZ} / \mathrm{ZW}$ or $\mathrm{ZZ} / \mathrm{ZO}$ systems, and male heterogametic systems are $\mathrm{XX} / \mathrm{XY}$ or $\mathrm{XX} / \mathrm{XO}$ systems. In some species of mammals, fishes, and insects (e.g., Monotremes, Stephanolepis cirrhifer, Gasterosteus
aculeatus, Mantodae), multiple sex chromosomes, designated $\mathrm{XnXn} / \mathrm{XnYn}$, are seen (Kitano et al. 2009). Wrinkled Frogs (Rana rugosa), which are exceptional, have two different GSD systems, an XX/XY system in some populations and a ZZ/ZW system in others (Miura 2007). Some dioecious plants (e.g., Silene alba, Fragaria) and animals (e.g., some fish, and some frogs) have nascent sex chromosomes, which are not visibly differentiated (Liu et al. 2004, Ming et al. 2007; Almeida-Toledo et al. 2000, Peichel et al. 2004, Just et al. 2007). In general, the sex chromosome specific to the heterogametic sex is often degenerate and smaller than its partner sex chromosome, but some species have Y chromosomes that are larger than the X chromosomes (e.g. some Drosophila, polychaetes, frogs, turtles, and plants; Lewis and John 1963, Sato and Ikeda 1992, Solari and Pigozzi 1994, Matsunaga and Kawano 2001; Martinez et al. 2008).

There are two main types of molecular mechanisms that mediate chromosomally determined GSD. In one type of mechanism, primary sex-determination genes mediate GSD; this type is observed in several species that have a segregating sex chromosome. In humans (Homo sapiens), mice (Mus musculus), and medaka (Oryzias latipes), a primary male-determination gene is located on the Y chromosome. In contrast, African clawed frogs (Xenopus laevis) have a female-determination gene on the W chromosome. The second type of mechanism is dependent on relative gene or chromosome dose (dosage compensation). In fruit flies (Drosophila melanogaster), GSD is controlled by the ratio of X chromosomes to autosomes, rather than by the presence or absence of a Y chromosome (Bridges 1914). If this ratio is one, the individual develops as a female; if the ratio is two, the individual develops as a male.

In limited species, known as complementary sex determination (CDS) systems, sex is determined by ploidy. In Hymenoptera, males are often haploid and developed parthenogenetically from unfertilized eggs; whereas, females are diploid and developed from fertilized eggs (Beukeboom, Kamping and van de Zande 2007). In species with CSD, sex is genetically determined by a single $c d s$ locus with multiple alleles: individuals that are heterozygous at this locus develop into females; whereas, hemizygotes and homozygotes develop into haploid and diploid males, respectively (Whiting 1943; Beye et al. 2003).

### 1.1.2 Environmental sex determination (ESD)

Triggers for ESD systems are various environmental factors, such as temperature, body size, crowding, and stress. Although reptiles have sex chromosomes $(\mathrm{Z}$ and W chromosomes), their sex is often determined by ambient temperature during embryonic development; this phenomenon is often called temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) (Quinn et al. 2007). The relationship between TSD and GSD systems was clarified by data from the Australian central bearded dragon lizard (Pogona vitticeps) (Quinn et al. 2007). Between 22 and $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the sex ratios do not differ significantly from 1:1, which is consistent a ZZ/ZW GSD system (Quinn et al. 2007). However, between 34 and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, there is an increasing bias toward female development, suggesting that temperature can override genotypic sex in some males (Quinn et al. 2007). The interaction between TSD and GSD systems in $P$. vitticeps is not common to other
reptiles such as lizards, turtles, and alligators (Ezaz et al. 2009; Bull 1980). The high diversity of SD mechanisms seen in reptiles (e.g. XY, XXY, ZW, ZZW, TSD, genetic-environment interactions) may be a remnant of the evolutionary lability of sex determination in reptiles (Ezaz et al. 2009).

ESD and TSD are also common in fishes. Moreover, environment-dependent sex reversal is often reported in teleost fish species. There are many different types of triggers for sex reversal; most are abiotic (e.g. temperature, pH , endocrine-disrupting chemicals, photoperiod, hypoxia), but a few biotic factors are also known to induce sex reversal (e.g. crowding, pathogens like Wolbachia, population size) (Stelkens and Wedekind 2010).

The water flea (Daphnia magna) can switch from parthenogenetic into sexual reproduction when environmental quality declines (Hebert 1978). Without the environmental stress, however, the analog of juvenile hormone or the high-expression of doublesex (dsx) 1 gene also can induce male production (Kato et al. 2011). ESD triggers are environmental. Furthermore, ESD is implemented by several internal factors, such as endocrine hormones and gene expression (Kato et al. 2011).

### 1.2 Mammals

Mammals represent a class of vertebrates (Mammalia) that is characterized several traits, including mammary glands, hair, and unique skeletal structures. The fossil records
reveals that mammals arose in or before the Early Jurassic, $\sim 200$ million yeas ago (MYA) (Luo 2007; Rowe, Macrini and Luo 2011). In the early Cenozoic, the adaptive radiation of mammals accelerated as new mammalian species occupied niches vacated due to the extinction of non-avian dinosaurs (Luo 2007). According to "Mammal Species of the World" (Wilson and Reeder 2005), 5416 extant mammalian species were known in 2005, and these species were distributed into 1,229 genera, 153 families, and 29 orders. Mammalian species have adapted to a variety of environments and climatic conditions worldwide, and this class contains substantial morphological diversity. The class is divided into two subclasses: the Prototheria (order of Monotremata; monotremes) and the Theria, which comprises two infraclasses-Metatheria (marsupials) and Eutheria (eutherians).

### 1.2.1 Monotremes

Monotremes have morphological characters of primitive mammals that are similar to characteristics of reptiles; monotremes lay eggs and have a single cloaca that is the opening duct for the intestinal, reproductive, and urinary tracts. There are five extant monotreme species that represent 3 genera, 2 families, and 1 order, and all five species live only in Australia. The oldest recorded monotreme fossil is from $\sim 120$ MYA (Rowe et al. 2007), and monotremes emerged in the early Cretaceous (Luo 2007). Molecular clock studies support the hypothesis that the monotreme and Theria clades diverged between 231 and 217 MYA(van Rheede et al. 2006).

### 1.2.2 Eutherians

Theria is viviparous and eutherians have placenta. More than $90 \%$ of extant mammals are eutherians, this infraclass includes 5032 known species (representing 1123 genera, 130 families, 21 orders), and those species exhibit ecomorphological diversity and have been radiated to the world since $\sim 100$ MYA.

The oldest recorded therian fossils are from $\sim 167$ MYA (Flynn et al. 1999), and molecular clock studies using extant therian genes indicated that the divergence between eutherians and marsupials occurred 148~190 MYA (Kumar and Hedges 1998; Woodburne, Rich and Springer 2003; van Rheede et al. 2006).

### 1.2.3 Marsupials

The newborn kangaroo is $0.003 \%$ of its mother's weight, but a mouse or human newborn is about 5\% of its mother's weight (Tyndale-Biscoe 2005). Most female marsupials have pouches in which the fetus develops. In marsupials, 379 species (representing 103 genera, 21 families, and 7 orders) are known. More than $70 \%$ of marsupials live in Australia and/or on a nearby island; the remaining marsupial species live on the American continent. After 15th century, when Europeans colonized North and South America, a rapid extermination of marsupial and avian species occurred; often, hunting, ecocide, and introduction of larger invasive mammals are invoked to
explain this extinction.
Marsupials first emerged in South America and then spread into Australia from South America via Antarctica (Cox 1974). Molecular phylogenetic analyses suggest that all extant marsupials have a most recent common ancestor from the Late Cretaceous, $\sim 76$ MYA (Meredith, Westerman and Springer 2009). During this period, South America and Australia began to separate from Antarctica, according to geological studies (Archer and Kirsch 2006). The three continents separated completely in the Eocene, by sometime between 45 and 35 MYA (Archer and Kirsch 2006). After the geographical separation, marsupial radiations occurred independently in South America and Australia.

### 1.3 The aims of this study

In SD systems, primary SD factors seem to evolve quickly, but the genetic cascades controlling sexual differentiation seem to evolve more slowly. Primary SD factors are defined as a first operator for determining sex on the reproductive organ, including a sex-determination gene, or environmental factor, and induce differentiation of gonads or other sex-related traits. Some of primary SD factors are known a transcriptional factor, which regulates downstream genes or genetic cascades related to sexual differentiation. In Diptera, fruit flies (Drosophila melanogaster) determine femaleness via a chromosome ratio, but Musca domestica specify maleness based on the presence or
absence of the M gene, which resides on the Y chromosome (Shearman 2002). The different SD systems were established after these lineages diverged, 29 to 80 MYA (Wiegmann et al. 2003). The rapid evolution of SD systems has also occurred in the in vertebrate lineages. A male-determination gene $D M Y$ emerged $180-100$ MYA in medaka (Matsuda et al. 2005), and SRY emerged 148-190 MYA in Theria (Wallis et al. 2007). In general, the master gene in a developmental system has been conserved in many lineages; the Paired box gene 6 (Рахб) that regulates eye development is a typical example of a conserved master gene (Kozmik 2008). Importantly, however, every known primary SD gene regulates sexual differentiation genes that encode a DM domain; DM domain-containing sexual differentiation proteins, such as dsx in invertebrates and dmrt in vertebrates, are essential for sexual development and sex-specific gonadogenesis. Sexual differentiation is regulated by sex-specific alternatively spliced isoforms of $d s x$ in insects and by sex-dependent expression of dmrtl in vertebrates (Yoshimoto et al. 2010; Elllengren 2011). It is interested why and how the flexibility of primary SD factors and the stability of genetic cascades regulating sexual differentiation have evolved in each SD system. To understand that, I studied the origin and evolution of SD systems and sex chromosomes in mammals.

In chapter 2, I described the molecular evolution of $S R Y$, a eutherian male-determination gene. By comparing marsupial and eutherian sequences, I attempted to reveal when and how those $S R Y$ genes have gained a function of male determination. In chapter 3, I investigated how therian sex chromosomes differentiated and claimed a
part of published theory regarding the evolution of sex chromosomes. In chapter 4, the evolution of repeat sequences and genes on the X chromosome was assessed. In chapter 5, the molecular evolution of a gene family on the X chromosome was investigated with a focus on primate genomes. In chapter 6, I overviewed the results presented in chapters $2-5$, including the evolutionary flexibility of primary SD and stability of genetic cascades in non-mammalian organisms. In chapter 7, I provided an overarching summary of the fields of evolution of sex determination and sex chromosomes.
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## Chapter 2

## The evolution and origin of sex determination systems in Theria

### 2.1 Abstract

In eutherians, male gonads and maleness are determined genetically by the sex-determining region $\mathrm{Y}(S R Y)$ gene on the Y chromosome. The $S R Y$ gene differentiated from the $S R Y$-related HMG-box 3 (SOX3) gene before the divergence of marsupials and eutherians, but the involvement of marsupial $S R Y$ in male gonad development remains speculative. I examined the phylogenetic relationships among marsupial and eutherian homologs, and compared $S R Y$ sequences from several species. HMG domain, a DNA binding domain, in $S R Y$ is conserved among marsupials and eutherians, but the $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$ regions adjacent to the HMG are not. In the domain, lineage-specific amino acids substitutions were found. Those amino acids substitutions might contribute functional differences between marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$.

### 2.2 Introduction

Sex determination systems are important in reproduction. Genes involved in
gonadogenesis (e.g., SOX9, SF-1, DMRT1, RSPO1) are well conserved in the genetic cascades that regulate sexual differentiation in vertebrates; in contrast, upstream regulators in these cascades (i.e., primary sex determination genes) vary among vertebrates.

In eutherians, the sex-determining region $\mathrm{Y}(S R Y)$ gene is the key factor in male determination (Sinclair et al. 1990). SRY, a transcription factor, contains a conserved DNA-binding high-mobility group (HMG) domain (78 amino acids). The complex formed by $S R Y$ and $A d 4 B P / S F-1$ proteins binds the testis enhancer region of SOX9 directly and regulates SOX9, which drives testis formation (Sekido and Lovel-Badge 2008).

SRY has been identified in several orders of Australidelphia (Australian marsupials) (Fig. 2.1), but its functions in these taxa have not been fully examined (Foster et al. 1992). Although eutherian $S R Y$ is expressed in mainly testis and brain, wallaby $S R Y$ is expressed in a broad range of tissues including testis, brain, kidney, mesonephros among others (Harry et al. 1995). It is of interest to ask whether the marsupial $S R Y$ has a function in male determination.

The $S R Y$ gene is located on the Y chromosome and is differentiated from its allele SOX3 on the proto-X chromosome (Wallis et al. 2007). If SRY emerged in the therian ancestor, Ameridaelphia (American marsupials) should also have $S R Y$ homologs. However, an $S R Y$ homolog has not been found in an American marsupial to date. Data from Katoh and Miyata (1999) indicates that therian SRY genes are monophyletic, but data from Nagai (2001) indicate that the phylogeny of eutherian and marsupial SRY
genes was not monophyletic. The orthology between eutherian and marsupial $S R Y$ is also debatable (Katoh and Miyata 1999, Nagai 2001).

To assess flexibility and stability within sex-determination systems, I first identified homologs of sex determination-related genes in phylogenetically diverged animals, including invertebrate and vertebrate. I then identified $S R Y$ homologs from Theria. Based on this phylogenetic analysis, which included an $S R Y$ homolog from an American marsupial (the opossum), $S R Y$ homologs form a monophyletic group. To determine when $S R Y$ gained a role in male sex determination, the process of functional differentiation in marsupial and eutherian $\operatorname{SRY}$ lineages was investigated using molecular evolutionary and computational analyses.

### 2.3 Materials and methods

### 2.3.1 Nucleotide sequences used in the analysis and homology search by blast program

Nucleotide sequence data and corresponding gene information were obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), Ensembl databases (release 62; http://uswest.ensembl.org/index.html), sea urchin genome from the Human Genome Sequencing Center at Baylor College of Medicine (http://www.hgsc.bem.tmc.edu/project-species-o-Strongylocentrotus\ purpuratus.hgs
c?pageLocation=Strongylocentrotus\%20purpuratus), Ghost Database (http://ghost.zool.kyoto-u.ac.jp/indexr1.html), Branchiostome floridae genome from EUKARYOTIC GENOMICS of DOE Joint Genome Institute (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Brafl1/Brafl1.home.html), and lamprey genome from UCSC Genome Bioinformatics (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway?db=petMar1). The genomic or transcriptional sequences from 19 phylogenetically diverged animal species were analyzed and compared (Table 2.1 and Fig. 2.2).

First, to get insight on the divergence and conservation of sex determination systems, homologs of five genes (SOX3, SOX9, Ad4BP/SF-1, DMRT1, and RSPO1) involved in the genetic cascade regulating gonadogenesis and/or sex determination were identified in 19 animal species. Second, a BLAST search was carried out using the human or wallaby $S R Y$ genes as a query to identify $S R Y$ homologs in sequence data from eutherian and marsupial species. Nucleotide sequences of $S R Y$ from several marsupial species were also determined based on BLAST searches.

### 2.3.2 Animals samples used in the study

Tissue or hair samples from males of one American and 14 Australian marsupial species were used in this study. Liver and spleen samples were collected from four marsupials: opossums (Monodelphis domestica), swamp wallabies (Wallabia bicolor), eastern gray kangaroos (Macropus Giganteus), and koalas (Phascolarctos cinereus) at Kanazawa Zoo in Yokohama City, Japan. Hair samples were collected from four species: parma
wallabies (Macropus parma) and western grey kangaroos (Macropus Fuliginosus) at Hamamatsu City Zoo and sugar gliders (Petaurus breviceps) and brush-tailed rat kangaroos (Bettongia penicillata) at Asa Zoological Park in Hiroshima City, Japan. Genomic DNA was isolated from soft tissue samples using the DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (QIAGEN) and from hair samples using ISOHAIR (NIPPON GENE) and QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN). With genomic DNA purified from hair samples, whole genome amplification was performed using the REPLI-g Midi Kit (QIAGEN). Genomic DNA samples from seven species-tammar wallabies (Macropus Eugenii), striped-faced dunnarts (Sminthopsis Macroura), brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula), tasmanian devils (Sarcophilus harrisii), fat tailed dunnarts (Sminthopsis crassicaudata), and eastern barred bandicoots (Perameles gunnii)—were a gift from Dr. Jenny Graves at Australian National University.

### 2.3.3 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Genomic DNA (100 ng) was suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \times$ Ex Taq PCR buffer, which contained $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTP), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the one pair of primers, and 1 unit of TaKaRa Ex Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa). The oligonucleotide primers used are shown in Table 2.2. PCR amplification included one cycle at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 seconds followed by $30-40$ cycles of denaturing for 15 seconds at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing for $30-60$ seconds at $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and extension for 60 seconds at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A final extension was performed for 10 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A different PCR method was used to amplify longer sequences, approximately 10 kb . Genomic DNA ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was suspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \times \mathrm{LA}$ Taq PCR buffer, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP Mixture, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the two types of primers, and 1 unit of TaKaRa LA Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa). The oligonucleotide primers used are shown in Table 2.2. Primer sets were designed based on the evolutionarily conserved sequence. PCR conditions consisted of on cycle at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 minutes followed by 30 amplification cycles each consisting of denaturation for 10 seconds at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an annealing and extension step for 15 minutes at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A final extension was performed for 10 minutes at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3.4 Subcloning and sequencing

PCR products were separated on $1 \%$ agarose gel and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN), or they were subcloned using the TOPO XL PCR cloning kit (Invitrogen). In the case of direct sequencing, PCR products were purified with ExoSAP-IT (United States Biochemical) for 30 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 15 minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Those purified products were sequenced. The sequencing reactions were performed using the dideoxy chain-termination method (Sanger et al. 1977) using BigDye Terminator v1.1 or 3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kits (Applied Biosystems) and the sequencing reactions were analyzed on an Applied Biosystems 3130 genetic analyzer. The sequencing primers used are shown in Table 2.2.

### 2.3.5 Phylogenetic and data analyses

These nucleotide sequences were aligned using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997), and the results were also checked manually. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using all three methods available in the MEGA4.1 program (Tamura et al. 2007): neighbor-joining (NJ; Saitou and Nei 1987), minimum evolution (ME; Rzhetsky and Nei 1992), and maximum parsimony (MP; Sourdis and Nei 1988). The reliability of the trees was assessed by bootstrap re-sampling with 1000 replications. Phylogeny inference package version 3.68 (PHYLIP; Felsenstein 1989) and phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood (PAML; Yang 2007) were also used to construct a phlylogeny based on maximum likelihood (ML; Kishino and Hasegawa 1989).

In addition, to examine the nucleotide sequence similarity along the sequence between different species, window analysis implemented in mVISTA was conducted (Mayor et al. 2000). MatInspector (Quandt et al. 1995) was used to identify transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) of vertebrate in evolutionary conserved regions.

### 2.3.6 Analysis of binding affinity between DNA and proteins

The DNA-binding affinity of HMG domains from eutherian, marsupial, or mutant SRY proteins was investigated using molecular dynamics (MD) analysis and the molecular mechanics poisson-boltzmann surface area (MMPBSA) method, which is used to
calculate the free energy of proteins-DNA complexes in aqueous solutions (Gilson and Zhou 2007). The structure of the complex was modeled on a three-dimensional, nuclear magnetic resonance structure of human SRY HMG domain bound to a 14 nucleotide sequence (Murphy et al. 2001). The DNA-protein pairs used in this analysis are shown in Table 2.3. The mutant proteins were selected based on this study and a previous study. The $\operatorname{SRY}$ binding sequences from regulatory regions of the human anti-mullerian hormone (Amh) and marsupial SRY gene were used for this analysis (Table 2.3).

### 2.4 Results

### 2.4.1 Genes related to sex determination

To better understand the evolution of sex-determination systems, homologs of genes related to sex determination were investigated in 19 phylogenetically diverged animal species (Table 2.1 and Fig. 2.2). Every animal genome analyzed had homologs of essential genes for gonadogenesis and testis differentiation (SOX3, SOX9, Ad4BP/SF-1, and DMRT1) (Fig. 2.2). While only Jawed vertebrates had homologs of RSPO1 essential for ovary differentiation (Kamata et al. 2004; Perma et al. 2006; Tomizuka et al. 2008), but invertebrate and jawless vertebrate (lampreys) did not (Fig. 2.2).

### 2.4.2 Identification of marsupial $\operatorname{SRY}$

To assess the rate of evolution of primary sex-determination genes, I focused on the origin and evolution of $S R Y$ sequences. In particular, characterization of marsupial $S R Y$ homologs was important for understanding the origin and evolution of mammalian $S R Y$. Then an $S R Y$ homolog from an American marsupial (AC239615; 61429-62068, 639 bp ) was identified on an opossum BAC clone derived from the Y chromosome (Fig. 2.3). The sequence did not have any premature stop codons (Fig. 2.3) and thus could encode a protein-coding mRNA. The entire deduced amino acid sequence of opossum $S R Y$ had $63 \%$ and $67 \%$ similarity with the wallaby and stripe-faced dunnart $\operatorname{SRY}$ (S46279), respectively, and had $41 \%$ similarity with human $\operatorname{SRY}$ (NM003140). The SRY HMG domains ( $\sim 246 \mathrm{bp}$ ) were highly conserved among marsupials and eutherians (Fig. 2.3), which the opossum HMG had $76 \%, 77 \%$, and $64 \%$ similarity with the HMG of the stripe-faced dunnart, wallaby and humans, respectively. Additionally, $S R Y$ genes were identified empirically in 12 species, representing seven families, of Australian marsupials. Partial $S R Y$ sequences and sequences flanking $S R Y$ were isolated from seven species, representing five families: Macropodidae: swamp wallabies, eastern gray kangaroos, tammar wallabies; Phascolarctidae: koalas; Phalangeridae: brushtail possums; Dasyuridae: fat tailed dunnarts and Peramelidae: eastern barred bandicoots (Figs. 2.1 and 2.4). The $S R Y$ deduced amino acid sequences from these seven species had more than $70 \%$ similarity to those of other marsupial $S R Y$. The putative $S R Y$ homologs in these seven species are shared gene order, with conserved synteny, as are the $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes in the opossum and tammar wallaby (unpublished date; tammar wallaby
nucleotide sequences of Y chromosome derived BAC were given by Dr. Jenny Graves and Dr. Paul Waters). Therefore, it can be concluded that the identified sequences were marsupial $S R Y$ homologs.

### 2.4.4 Regulatory regions of $\operatorname{SRY}$

The expression patterns of marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$ transcripts differed. To identify the regulatory regions that are responsible for these differences, TFBSs in the 5 ' flanking region of marsupial $S R Y$ were investigated. The $5^{\prime}$ regulatory region ( $\sim 4$ kb) of the wallaby $S R Y$ had about 600 TFBSs. There were three blocks of conserved sequence in the 5 ' flanking region of $S R Y$ genes from all marsupial species analyzed. Fig. 2.5 shows the regions that are conserved between wallaby and opossum Y chromosome, but the 5 ' regulatory regions of eutherian $\operatorname{SRY}$ homologs were not similar to those of marsupials. However, the 5' regions of marsupial $S R Y$ contain potential binding sites for some important TFs known to regulate gonadogenesis or male differentiation in eutherians. The 5 ' regulatory regions of some eutherian $S R Y$ homologs (i.e., human, bovine, goat, and pig homologs; but not the mouse gene) have conserved TFBSs (Ross et al. 2008). These conserved sequences include binding sites for SP1, homeobox genes (Hox), lim homeodomain factors (Lhxf), Sox/Sry, Brn POU domain factors (Brnf), hepatic nuclear factorl (Hnf1), caudal related homeodomain protein (Cdx), and the CTCF and BORIS gene families (Clox).

### 2.4.3 Phylogenetic analyses of SRY

To understand the origin and evolution of the $S R Y$ gene, a phylogeny was constructed using amino acid sequences of $S R Y$ and the $S O X B 1$ family, including SOX1-3 (Fig. 2.6). SRY sequences were gathered from annotated and non-annotated mammalian sequence data, and redundant or truncated sequences were removed from the analyses. The SRY cluster was monophyletic; this finding indicated that the marsupial and eutherian SRY homologs have a common origin. The topology of phylogeny was confirmed using four methods: NJ, ML, ME and MP. The data are inconclusive because the bootstrap values supporting the monophyly of $\mathrm{SOX3}$ are rather low.

In the $S R Y$ HMG domain, six marsupial-specific and 13 eutherian-specific amino acid substitutions were found (Figs. 2.6 and 2.7). Of the 13 eutherian-specific substitutions, three are evolutionary conserved (I55F, K59Q, and E68K), but in marsupials, six are specific and only one of them has substitution (V64K). By parsimony, four other substitutions could be speculated in the $S R Y$ of therian ancestor, although the substitutions are not conserved between $S R Y$ of marsupials and eutherians (Figs. 2.6 and 2.7).

### 2.4.4 Functional domain of $S R Y$

Y69 F55 V5, H65, Y69 and Y72) are essential to the structure and function of these SRY proteins (Murphy et al. 2001; Assumpcao et al. 2002). However, the amino acids at two of these positions, M9M/I, Y69S/F, vary among marsupial SRY proteins (Fig. 2.7). The HMG domain of $S R Y$ binds in the minor groove of specific DNA sequences, resulting in substantial DNA bending (Murphy et al. 2001). An M9I change in the SRY HMG domain increases the angle of the bend in the DNA, and this more pronounced bend may prevent distally placed proteins from interacting with the transcription initiation complex (Assumpcao et al. 2002). The M9I mutation causes $S R Y$-dependent 46XY sex reversal in humans (Assumpcao et al. 2002). M at position 9 is well conserved $S R Y /$ Sox 1-3 HMG domains except that position was variable in marsupials (Fig. 2.7) (L9; Fig. 2.7). Amino acids V5, H65, Y69, and Y72 of SRY maintain the protein's structure by anchoring the N -terminal tail to the end of helix 3 and the beginning of the C-terminal tail. All but one of these amino acids are well conserved; Y at position 69 is replaced by S in the striped-faced dunnart and by F in the Macroposidae (kangaroo, wallaby and koala) respectively (Fig. 2.7). In addition, the eutherian-specific substitution, F55, is related to efficient packing interactions between the N-terminal strand, the N-terminal end of helix 1, and the inner face of helix. These eutherian specific substitutions may cause functional differentiation of marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$.

To access the functional significance of amino acid differences in SRY homologs, DNA binding affinities of the several therian SRY HMG domains were investigated using MD analyses. The result indicated that the marsupial (wallaby,
opossum, and dunnart) HMG domains can bind DNA, but the binding affinity of these homologs seemed to be weaker than that of the human SRY HMG domain. Mutant versions of the human (M9I, F55I, Y69F, Q59K, and K68E) and wallaby (K64V) proteins were predicted to exhibit lower affinity for DNA than the wild-type proteins. The M9I mutant causes abnormal DNA bending (Murphy et al. 2001). The predicted binding affinities of Q59K, K68E, and K64V were much lower than that of M9I.

### 2.5 Discussion

### 2.5.1 The evolution of sex-determination systems

Male differentiation-related genes, SOX3, SOX9, Ad4BP/SF-1, and DMRT1, were conserved well in phylogenetically diverged animals (Fig. 2.2). All genes are transcriptional factors. SOX3 is the ancestral gene of male determination $S R Y$, but has possibility as a target of $S R Y$ (Graves 1998; Pask et al. 2000). Ad4BP/SF-1 is essential for gonadogenesis and production of steroid hormones in both sexes. SOX9 and DMRT1 function in testis differentiation. Female differentiation-related genes $R S P O 1$ was found in only Jawed vertebrates. RSPO1 is a non-secreted protein containing a thrombospondin type I motif, and might be a candidate of primary female-determination gene (Kamata et al. 2004; Perma et al. 2006), because RSPO1 enhances Wnt-4 signaling, which is essential for ovary differentiation (Tomizuka et al. 2008). Wilhelm
(2007) and Sekido and Lovell-Badge (2008) speculated that RSPO1 might be suppressed by $S R Y$ in testes. $S R Y$ homologs were found in only Theria. These findings on $R S P O 1$ and $S R Y$ indicate that the primary genes in male or female determination are of more recent revolutionary origin or are more rapidly diversified than other, downstream genes in sex determination systems. The primary genes in male or female determination might be more recent revolutionary origin or more rapidly diversified than other, downstream genes in sex determination systems.

### 2.5.2 The origin of SRY

American and Australian marsupials diverged $\sim 76$ MYA (Meredith, Westerman, and Springer 2009), and I identified an $S R Y$ homolog in an American and several Australian marsupial. The present phylogenetic study of marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$ sequences strongly supported the hypothesis that $S R Y$ arose once in Theria (Foster et al. 1992; Wallis et al. 2007).

Phylogenetic relationships between $S R Y$ and $S O X 3$ have created controversy (Nagai 2001; Soullier et al. 1999; Katoh and Miyata 1999). Katoh and Miyata (1999) proposed a therian $S O X 3$ origin of $S R Y$ based on a heuristic approach and ML methods, but the phylogenetic topology they found was not reconstructed by Nagai (2001), by Soullier et al. (1999), or here in this study. The reasons for this inconsistency may be partly due to long branch attraction (LBA) because of rapid evolution of $S R Y$ on Y chromosomes and partly due to differences in the functional constraints on SOX3 versus
those on SRY.

Following two different evolutionary scenarios (A and B) can be tested statistically. In hypothesis A, SRY originated in Theria (Fig. 2.8; Katoh and Miyata 1999); in hypothesis B, SRY originated in the ancestor of amniotes before the emergence of Theria (Fig. 2.8; this study, Nagai 2001 and Soullier et al. 1999). Based on the assumption that the evolutionary rate of branch is different between SOX3 and SRY, hypothesis A and B were compared using the likelihood ratio test in PAML and aligned sequences of 81 amino acids. The $\log$ likelihood estimated for tree $\mathrm{A}(\ln \mathrm{L}=$ -720.393) was higher than that of tree $\mathrm{B}(\operatorname{lnL}=-3.46 \pm 4.147)$, but no statistical difference was indicated by the P value of the KH normal test $(\mathrm{pKH})$ or by the RELL bootstrap proportions (pRELL) (Kishino and Hasegawa 1989). The estimated log likelihood values were influenced by evolutionary models, such as substitution matrixes, but not statistically significant (JTT, WAG, or Dayhoff) or parameters. The analysis using a codon-based model of PAML and the nucleotide sequences (243 bp) also did not support one over the other. [Do you mean "a codon-based version of PAML and nucleotide sequences"? Please clarify.] The phylogenetic relationship between SOX3 and $S R Y$ remains obscure, but the topology of tree B might be due to LBA of SOX3 clusters.

Recently, a new hypothesis about the origin of eutherian $\operatorname{SRY}$ was proposed; Sato et al. (2009) suggested that $S R Y$ is a hybrid of SOX3 and DiGeorge syndrome Critical Region gene 8 (DGCR8). In humans, exon 2 of $D G C R 8$ is highly similar to the 5 ' region of $S R Y$. I investigated whether there was similarity between the
$S R Y$ and $D G C R 8$ genes within marsupials and other eutherians, as is the case in humans. But only the SRY and DGCR8 gene pairs within primates were similar. The phylogeny of $S R Y$ and exon 2 of $D G C R 8$ cannot be explained by a fusion between $D G C R 8$-like sequence and $S R Y$ in primates. Currently, the possibility of convergent evolution of amino acids cannot be excluded.

### 2.5.3 Functional differentiation of $\operatorname{SRY}$

To investigate the function of the amino acid substitution of HMG domain in marsupial and eutherian SRY, the DNA binding affinity of HMG was investigated using MD analyses. In the MD analysis, the HMG domains from marsupials (wallaby, opossum, and dunnart) did bind DNA, but the binding affinities of these HMG domains were lower than that of the HMG domain from humans. Mutant versions of the human HMG domain (M9I, F55I, Y69F, Q59K, and K68E) and of wallaby (K64V) were predicted to have lower affinity than the wild-type version. The M9I mutant results in protein malfunction because of abnormal DNA bending. The binding affinities of the Q59K, K68E and K64V mutants were predicted to be lower than that of M9I; these finding indicated that the lineage-specific substitutions were necessary for its DNA binding.

Functional differentiation of $S R Y$ homologs is considered to have occurred in two steps. The first step occurred in the common ancestor to marsupials and eutherians, as $S R Y$ was diverging from SOX3. The second step occurred as the marsupial and
eutherian lineages diverged; the marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$ differentiated independently. The rate of amino acid substitution in the ancestor $\left(1.33 \times 10^{-7}\right.$ substitution per year) was significantly faster compared to the rate on each lineage leading to marsupial $\left(5.94 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ or eutherian $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes $\left(1.73 \times 10^{-7}\right)$, suggesting that substitution rate of $S R Y$ was higher than that of $S O X 3$ ( Z test; $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). In marsupials and eutherians, lineage-specific amino acid substitutions in $S R Y$ were found, and some of these substitutions were possibly important for the stability of DNA binding. Moreover, the DNA binding affinities of marsupial $S R Y$ proteins might be lower than those of eutherian $S R Y$ proteins.

A comparison of 5 ' regulatory regions of $\operatorname{SOX} 9$ homologs supported the hypothesis that only eutherian genomes have the testis-specific enhancer that $S R Y$ and Ad4BP/SF-1 can bind (Fig. 2.9); for example, the 5' regulatory regions of SOX9 homologs in opossum and chicken genomes did not have the $\operatorname{SRY}$ and $A d 4 B P / S F-1$ TFBSs (Fig. 2.9). Therefore, eutherian-specific functional differentiation in the genetic cascade leading to gonadogenesis included the addition of an $S R Y$ binding enhancer to the SOX9 gene, indicating that a novel functional relationship between SRY and SOX9 emerged in eutherians.

### 2.5.4 Function of marsupial $S R Y$

The mouse $S R Y$ gene is expressed at a critical stage of male determination in fetal testes
and in brains, and the $S R Y$ of other eutherians (humans, caws, sheep and pigs) is also expressed in testes and brains (Hacker et al. 1995, Lahr et al. 1995, Hanley et al. 2000, Mayer et al. 1998, Daneau et al. 1995, Payen et al. 1996, Parma, Pailhoux and Cotinot 1999). The 5' regulatory regions of $\operatorname{SRY}$ homologs are well conserved among many eutherians, excluding mice (Ross et al. 2008), but these eutherian regulatory regions are totally different from the regulatory regions of marsupial SRY homologs. The wallaby SRY is expressed in a broad range of tissues including, not only testis and brains, but also heart, mesonephros, kidney, lung, and others (Harry et al. 1995). Based on the expression pattern and the low DNA-binding affinity of marsupial SRY and the absence of a SOX9 testis enhancer, the marsupial SRY gene might not contribute to testis determination during fetal development.

### 2.6 Conclusion

A comparison of marsupial and eutherian $S R Y$ homologs indicated that the process of functional differentiation in the SRY lineages might have occurred independently and differed in the marsupial and eutherian lineages. Lineage-specific changes in $S R Y$ and SOX9 homologs were found, and these changes indicated that the primary-sex determination gene $S R Y$ and the sex-differentiation genes $S O X 9$ and $A d 4 B P / S F-1$ co-evolved in eutherians.
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### 2.8 Figure Legends

## Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of therian diversification.

Gray triangles indicate animals in which an $S R Y$ ortholog has been identifies, i.e., tammar wallabies (Macropus eugenii; Order Diprotodontia; Family Macropodidae), stripe-faced dunnarts (Sminthopsis macroura: Order Dasyuromorphia), brushtail possums (Trichosurus vulpecula; Order Petauridae) and Northern brown bandicoot (Isoodon macrourus; Order Peramelemorphia) (Foster et al. 1992, Watson, Margan and Johnston 1998 and Eckery et al. 2002). The black triangle indicates the American marsupial in which an $S R Y$ ortholog was identified in this study. The time scale shows the divergence time of Theria. The last common ancestor of marsupials was $\sim 76$ MYA (Meredith, Westerman and Springer 2009). Didelphimorphia (opossums) diverged from Australidelphia $\sim 73 \mathrm{MYA}$, the radiation of Australidelphia was $\sim 63 \mathrm{MYA}$, and Diprotodontia diverged ~53 MYA (Meredith, Westerman and Springer 2009).

Figure 2.2 Sex determination-related genes in animals.

The left panel shows the cascade of sex determination in mice, a model of the eutherian sex-determination cascade. The right panel contains a list of sex determination-related gene homologs in animals.

Figure 2.3 An alignment of amino acid sequences from $S R Y$ genes.
Modo_Y (opossum SRY), Maeu_Y (wallaby SRY), Smmc_Y (stripe-faced dunnart SRY), Hosa_Y (human $S R Y$ ). The blue bar represents the position of the HMG domain.

## Figure 2.4 PCR products

The gene structure of $S R Y$ is based on one wallaby sequence inferred from a BAC derived from the Y chromosome. The gray bars represent the regions covered by the PCR products; the size and species-of-origin are indicated beside each bar.

Figure 2.5 Comparison of $Y$ chromosomal regions surrounding $\operatorname{SRY}$ from opossums and wallabies.

## Figure 2.6 Phylogeny of SRY genes

The tree was constructed using the NJ method and 58 amino acids. Inferred substitutions are shown on the tree. Four substitutions (M23L, R38Q, M66R, and K67E) were specific to the branch that $S R Y$ differentiated from $S O X 3$ in the ancestor of Theria. Twelve substitutions (G18D, K29Q, H31Q, A41Y, D42Q, L45M, D48E, I55F, D56E, K59Q, V64M, and E68K) were on the branch containing the eutherian $S R Y$ and
seven substitutions (D3S, G18S, D42S, A49N, R52Q, V64K, R75Q) were on the branch containing the marsupial $S R Y$. The divergence time on the tree, 79 or 105 MYA coincides with the radiation of marsupials or eutherians from Figure 2.1, and 210-180 MYA is the divergence time of monotremes and Theria.

## Figure 2.7 Comparison of HMG domain in SRY and SOX genes in eutherians and

 marsupials.The amino acid sequence alignment of HMG domain is shown. The eutherian-specific substitutions are indicated in blue and marsupial-specific substitutions are indicated in green. The substitutions common to Theria are indicated in yellow. The dot at the top of alignment indicates an amino acid position that is critical to SRY function (magenta on the first line) or structure (multi-color on the second line).

Figure 2.8 The two hypothetical trees A and B used for the likelihood ratio test

Figure 2.9 The conservation of the Sox9 testis enhancers from humans, mice, opossums, and chickens.

The red boxes indicate $S R Y$ binding sites; the green boxes indicate $A d 4 B P / S F-1$ binding sites identified by Sekido and Lovell-Badge (2008).


Figure 2.1
Schematic representation of therian diversification.


Figure 2.2
Sex determination-related genes in animals.

```
Modo_Y -------MYNFLE--IKSSFVEEDLRVSESVKNNWDNRSG-------------------SISRVKRPMNAFMVWSRSQRRKVAQENPKMHNSEISKLLGASW
```




```
Hosa_Y MQSYASA.LSVFNSDDY.PA.Q.N--IPALRRSSSFLCTESCNSKYQCETGENSKGNVQD.........I....D....M.L...R.R......Q..YQ.
Modo_Y KLLTDNEKQPFIDEAKRLRAKHREEHPDYKYQPRRKTKSFMKNRQRCYPKDRCTYG---TSSLTQEQDTQKDLYSTTP-QSYESNALISEISTFNYAQDP
```



```
Smmc_Y ...S.S..R.........D..K-QVS................--VYNH..HL.K---A.DQ.IKT.HLKE.STT-----I..NTMKCP...S.YC..ES
Hosa_Y .M..EA..W..FQ..QK.Q.M...KY.N...R....A.MLP..CSLLPADPASVLCSE-----V.LDNR-----LYRDDCTKATHSRMEHQLGHL-PPIN
Modo_Y CTTHFGNWINVMNLPPEQENPEM-WPLQNSGTVVNNIEHLTYI*
Maeu_Y .LDNW-----INTNL.....K-QSSSF.SGCFQSPWTGVNNTNSYVKPETNDSF*
Smmc_Y TYLDN-----W.........T.FLARSIYK*--------------------------
Hosa_Y AASSPQQRDRYSHWTKL*----------------------------------------
```

Figure 2.2
The alignment of amino acid sequences of $\operatorname{SRY}$ genes.


Figure 2.4
PCR products.


Figure 2.5 Comparison of $Y$ chromosomal regions including $S R Y$ between opossums and wallabies.


Figure 2.6
Phylogeny of SRY genes.


Figure 2.7
Comparison with HMG domain in SRY and SOX genes in eutherians and marsupials.


Sox9 Testis enhancer 1.4 kb


Figure 2.9
The conservation of Sox9 testis enhancer between humans, mice, opossums, and chickens.

Table 2.1
Accession numbers of nucleotide sequences used in chapter 2.

| Species | Gene | Accession No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| striped-faced dunnart | SOX3 | S69429 |
| human | SOX3 | NM005634 |
| mouse | SOX3 | NM_009237 |
| dog | SOX3 | XM_844146 |
| opposum | SOX3 | XM_001367288 |
| platypus | SOX3 | XM_001511499 |
| chicken | SOX3 | NM_204195 |
| human | SRY | NM003140 |
| chimpanzee | SRY | NM_001008988 |
| macaque | SRY | NM_001032836 |
| mandrillus sphinx | SRY | AF284330.2 |
| marmoset | SRY | J527004 |
| mouse | SRY | NM 011564 |
| Apodemus sylvaticus | SRY | AB548702 |
| Rattus norvegicus | SRY1 | NM_012772 |
| Rattus norvegicus | SRY2 | AF275683 |
| Rattus norvegicus | SRY3 | AF275682 |
| dog | SRY | AF107021.1 |
| cat | SRY | NM_001009240 |
| horse | SRY | NM_001081810.1 |
| pig | SRY | GU991615 |
| Potamochoerus porcus | SRY | FN186126.1 |
| cattle | SRY | NM 001014385 |
| Ovis aries | SRY | AY604733 |
| Delphinus delphis | SRY | AB108522.2 |
| Mesoplodon stejnegeri | SRY | AB108517 |
| I. macrourus | SRY | AF054811 |
| M. gugabteus | SRY | U80798 |
| M. agilis | SRY | U80797 |
| eastern gray kangaroo | SRY | U80798 |
| T. vulpecula | SRY | U80799 |
| P. penicillata | SRY | U76518 |
| striped-faced dunnart | SRY | S46279 |
| medaka | SOX2 | NM 001104764 |
| human | SOX2 | NM 003106 |
| mouse | SOX2 | NM_011443 |
| catle | SOX2 | NM_001105463 |
| dog | SOX2 | XM_545216 |
| opossum | SOX2 | XM_001368783 |
| platypus | SOX2 | XM_001506934 |
| chicken | SOX2 | NM_205188 |
| human | SOX1 | NM_005986 |
| mouse | SOX1 | NM_009233 |
| catle | SOX1 | XM_870462 |
| dog | SOX1 | XM 844146 |
| opossum | SOX1 | XM_001364901 |
| chicken | SOX1 | NM_204333 |
| sea squirt | SOXB1 | NM01128858 |

Table 2.2
Primers used for PCR and sequencing.

| Name | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ ) | Specifity | Comments | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MeSRY1_F | TTGAGTCCGTGAAAAGTGGGTC | SRY | PCR | Harry et al. 1995 |
| MeSRY1_R | TTGTGAATCTGCCACGCTTGTC | SRY | PCR | Harry et al. 1995 |
| MeSRY23_F | GCTATGTATGGCTTCTTGAATG | SRY | PCR | O' Neil et al. 1998 |
| MeSRY2_R | CTGTCATTCGTTTCAGGTTTAAC | SRY | PCR | O' Neil et al. 1998 |
| MeSRY3_R | AACTGTCATTCGTTTCAGGT | SRY | PCR | O' Neil et al, 1997 |
| Modo_ATRY1 | AT T TGT TGTGACTCT TGCCAT | ATRY | PCR |  |
| Modo_ATRY1 | GCCT T TACCT TCTGT TGCT T T | ATRY | PCR |  |
| Modo_ATRX1 | CAATAATGGATGAAAACAGCC | ATRX | PCR |  |
| Modo_ATRX1 | TGCCTGCT TCAAAAATCT TAC | ATRX | PCR |  |
| M13_F | GTAAAACGACGGCCAG | M13 | PCR |  |
| M13_R | CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC | M13 | PCR |  |
| LA-F8 | CTTCAGGGCTTTGCAGCACTTGAAGGAA | SRY genome | LA-PCR |  |
| LA-R1 | GACCATATCATAAAAGCATTCATAGGCCT | SRY genome | LA-PCR |  |
| LA-F10 | GTTCGAGACCTCAAGCCAAATGGAGC | SRY genome | LA-PCR |  |
| LA-R2 | CATAAAAGCATTCATAGGCCTCTTCAC | SRY genome | LA-PCR |  |
| MeSwF1 | GGAGCTAATATTCTGGTAAATGAGGAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SmcrF1 | GGATCTAATACTCTGGTAACTGAGGAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| BantiF1 | GCTAATACTCTGGTAAATGAGGAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MeSwBaF2 | CGAGACCTCAAGCCAAATGGAGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SmcrR1 | GGTTATCTTTCCAACATTCAAAATGTTG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SwwaR1 | GACCTCCCAACATTGGAAATG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SmcrR2 | GGTTATCTTTCCAACATTC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MaeuR2 | GTTAACCTCCCAACATTGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MaeuR1 | CACTTAAAGTTAACCTCCCAACATTGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| BantiR1 | CTTAAAAGTTAACCTCCCAACACTGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| BantiR2 | GTTAACCTCCCAACACTGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SmcrF2 | GTTCGGGACCTCAAGCCAAATGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Posu102F1 | GATTTGTAAATGCTTGAAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Posu102F2 | TTTGGAAATTTGTGGACAAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Posu102F3 | CTGTCTTAAAATTGGAGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Posu102R1 | CTTCAAGACATTTACAAATC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Posu102R2 | CCATGAATCACTGTAATCTTTG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MSBF1 | GACCACAGAAGAAAGCGTAAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SF1 | GACATTTATTAGACAAACTG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SF2 | GAGACATTTATTAGACAAACTGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MSBF2 | CCAGATTCTGAAGGATTGAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MSBF3 | CTAAACTCAGTTATGAGAAAGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MeSw3R1 | GTAATTCCTCTACTTCATGTGGTCC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MeSw3R2 | CCTCTACTTCATGTGGTCC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MeSw3R3 | CTTTAATATAAGACTTATCCCTATC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SwBa3R1 | CTTAAATATTGCTATCATTTTACCC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SwBa3R2 | GTCCCTTCTGAATTTCCTAACT | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sm3R1 | CATTGCCCACATCTGTAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sm3R2 | CACTATTATCTTGTTATTCCAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sm3R3 | GTCCCCTTCTAAATTTCCTG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sm3R4 | CACTTCTGGTTGATTCAATG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Swwa2R1 | ССТСTTACGCAAACTCAAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Banti2R1 | CCTCTTACATAAACTCAAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Maeu2R1 | ССТСТTACACAAACTCAAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Smcr2R1 | CCACAAATTTCCAAATAAATTC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Smcr/102-F1 | CAGTAAGGAGAGTATATAAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MaBaSw-F1 | CTGTCAGTGAGGAAACTATAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2R1 | CCACAAATTTCCAAATAAATTC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2R2 | AACTTCAAGACATTTACAAATC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2R3 | CACAGACACACAAGAATTAT | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2R4 | TTTATCATTAAATATCTTAAAATATG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2F1 | GAATTTATTTGGAAATTTGTGGAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2F2 | TTTGGAGGGGTCAAGTTCCC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| P102-2F3 | TTTTAGTATGTTTTGGAGGG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MSB4F1 | CTTAATAGTCCCATAGCATC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| SB5R1 | CACTGGGTTACTGTGGTC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MS5R1 | CCTACTGACATTTGACAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MS5R2 | CGGGTATGGGTAGCAAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sc5R1 | GTAAATTCTCATTCCAATAGCTG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sc5R2 | GAGTACTTTGCAGTTATGAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sw4F1 | CTTCCTGTGTGTGCCAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sw5F1 | CTTGTAGAGATAGCTTCCTC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| MS4F1 | GAGTATAGTTTCCCTTTGGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| Sc4F1 | GGATATTATCCATCCCATG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| BSS-102-F1 | GTGAAGAGGCCTATGAATGCTTTTATGAT | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| BSS-102-F2 | GTGAAGAGGCCTATGAATGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| L102Scr1 | GTCCGTGAGAAGTGGATCAAGCAGTAC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| L102Scr2 | GTCCGTGAGAAGTGGATCAAGCAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| L102Scr3 | CCGTGAGAAGTGGATCAAGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| LA102Scr4 | CGTGAGAAGTGGATCAAGCAG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| LBS1 | GTGGATCAAGTAGAGTGAAGAGGCCTAT | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| LMBS1 | CAAGTAGAGTGAAGAGGCCTATGAATGC | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| LMBS2 | GTAGAGTGAAGAGGCCTATG | SRY genome | sequencing |  |
| LMeu1 | GTGGGTCAAGTAGAGTGAAGAGGCCTA | SRY genome | sequencing |  |

Table 2.3
The pair of proteins and DNA used for MD analysis.

| \# | SRY protein <br> type | species | DNA binding sites <br> derived from genes | species | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | wild type | human | Amh | human | positive control |
| 2 | mutant F55I | human | Amh | human | essential function in humans |
| 3 | mutant Y69F | human | Amh | human | essential function in humans |
| 4 | wild type | wallaby | Amh | human | for specuration of marsupial SRY proteins |
| 5 | wild type | oppoaum | Amh | human | for specuration of marsupial SRY proteins |
| 6 | wild type | dunnart | Amh | human | for specuration of marsupial SRY proteins |
| 7 | mutant Q59K | human | Amh | human | conserved in eutherians |
| 8 | mutant K68E | human | Amh | human | conserved in eutherians |
| 9 | wild type | wallaby | SRY | wallaby | for specuration of marsupial SRY binding |
| 9 |  | sequences |  |  |  |
| 10 | wild type | oppoaum | SRY | oppoaum | for specuration of marsupial SRY binding |
| sequences |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | mutant K64V | wallaby | SRY | wallaby | conserved in marsupials |
| 12 | mutant M9I | human | Amh | human | negative control: sex reversal |

## Table 2.4

TFBS list.

| BLOCK | Name | TF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 V \$LHXF | Lim homeodomain factors |
|  | 1 V \$HOXF | Paralog hox genes 1-8 from the four hox clusters A, B, C, D |
|  | 1 V \$BRNF | Brn POU domain factors |
|  | 1 V \$CART | Cart-1 (cartilage homeoprotein 1) |
|  | 1 V \$OCT1 | Octamer binding protein |
|  | 1 V \$SATB | Special AT-rich sequence binding protein |
|  | 1 V \$EVI1 | EVI1-myleoid transforming protein |
|  | 1 V \$MEF2 | MEF2, myocyte-specific enhancer binding factor |
|  | 1 V \$FKHD | Fork head domain factors |
|  | 1 V \$CLOX | CLOX and CLOX homology (CDP) factors |
|  | 1 V \$CAAT | CCAAT binding factors |
|  | 1 V \$DMRT | DM domain-containing transcription factors |
|  | 1 V \$CREB | cAMP-responsive element binding proteins |
|  | 1 V\$PARF | PAR/bZIP family |
|  | 1 V \$STAT | Signal transducer and activator of transcription |
|  | 1 V \$BCL6 | POZ domain zinc finger expressed in B-Cells |
|  | 1 O\$VTBP | Vertebrate TATA binding protein factor |
|  | 1 V \$HOMF | Homeodomain transcription factors |
|  | 1 V \$ETSF | Human and murine ETS1 factors |
|  | 1 V \$AP1R | MAF and AP1 related factors |
|  | 1 V \$CHRF | Cell cycle regulators: Cell cycle homology element |
|  | 1 V \$ARID | AT rich interactive domain factor |
|  | 1 V \$CEBP | Ccaat/Enhancer Binding Protein |
|  | 1 V \$SORY | SOX/SRY-sex/testis determinig and related HMG box factors |
|  | 1 O\$PTBP | Plant TATA binding protein factor |
|  | 2 V \$HOXF | Paralog hox genes 1-8 from the four hox clusters A, B, C, D |
|  | 2 V \$LHXF | Lim homeodomain factors |
|  | 2 V \$SORY | SOX/SRY-sex/testis determinig and related HMG box factors |
|  | 2 V\$BRNF | Brn POU domain factors |
|  | 2 V \$HNF1 | Hepatic Nuclear Factor 1 |
|  | 2 V \$CART | Cart-1 (cartilage homeoprotein 1) |
|  | 2 V \$CDXF | Vertebrate caudal related homeodomain protein |
|  | 2 V \$CAAT | CCAAT binding factors |
|  | 2 V \$CLOX | CTCF and BORIS gene family, transcriptional regulators with 11 highly conserved zinc finger domains |
|  | 2 V \$ZBPF | Zfx and Zfy - transcription factors implicated in mammalian sex determination |
|  | 2 V\$KLFS | Krueppel like transcription factors |
|  | 2 V \$SP1F | GC-Box factors SP1/GC |
|  | 2 V\$MAZF | Myc associated zinc fingers |
|  | 2 V \$SREB | Sterol regulatory element binding proteins |
|  | 3 V \$EGRF | EGR/nerve growth factor induced protein C \& related factors |
|  | 3 V \$ABDB | Abdominal-B type homeodomain transcription factors |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~V} \$ \mathrm{SNAP}$ | snRNA-activating protein complex |
|  | 3 V \$HOXF | Paralog hox genes 1-8 from the four hox clusters $A, B, C, D$ |
|  | 3 V \$HAND | Twist subfamily of class B bHLH transcription factors |
|  | 3 V \$NEUR | NeuroD, Beta2, HLH domain |
|  | 3 V\$RP58 | RP58 (ZFP238) zinc finger protein |
|  | 3 V\$DMRT | DM domain-containing transcription factors |
|  | 3 O\$VTBP | Vertebrate TATA binding protein factor |
|  | 3 V\$BRN5 | Brn-5 POU domain factors |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~V} \$ \mathrm{OCT} 1$ | Octamer binding protein |
|  | 3 V\$ARID | AT rich interactive domain factor |
|  | 3 V \$BRNF | Brn POU domain factors |
|  | 3 V\$SATB | Special AT-rich sequence binding protein |
|  | 3 V \$FKHD | Fork head domain factors |
|  | $3 \mathrm{~V} \$ \mathrm{MYT} 1$ | MYT1 C2HC zinc finger protein |
|  | 3 V \$CDXF | Vertebrate caudal related homeodomain protein |
|  | 3 V \$CART | Cart-1 (cartilage homeoprotein 1) |
|  | 3 V \$HBOX | Homeobox transcription factors |
|  | 3 V\$DLXF | Distal-less homeodomain transcription factors |
|  | 3 V \$NKXH | NKX homeodomain factors |

## Chapter 3

## The differentiation of sex chromosomes in Theria

### 3.1 Abstract

Mammalian sex chromosomes originated from a pair of autosomes, and homologous genes on sex chromosomes (gametologs) differentiated as a result of reduction in recombination between proto-sex chromosomes. In eutherians, this differentiation took place in a stepwise fashion and generated "evolutionary strata" on the X chromosome. It was believed that strata 1 and 2 (corresponding to the first two steps, respectively) emerged in the ancestor of Theria (eutherians and marsupials). However, marsupial sex chromosomes have not been investigated for evidence of such strata. In this study, seven opossum orthologs of eutherian gametologs were identified. among them, five pairs of these gametologs (SOX3/SRY, RBMX/Y, RPS4X/Y, HSFX/Y, XKRX/Y) reside in strata 1 and two pairs (SMCX/Y, and $U B E 1 X / Y$ ) reside in strata 2 of the human X chromosome. However, phylogenetic analysis that estimated the divergence time of these gametologs (including ATRX, which had been found only in marsupials) revealed that they had differentiated simultaneously in the common ancestor to eutherians and marsupials. Evidence of gene conversion was observed at the 3' end of $S M C X / Y$ and UBE1X/Y in eutherians, not in marsupials. Moreover, to know the extent of functional
constraint on gametologs, the ratios of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions on the branches leading to each gametolog was examined. Based on this analysis, RBMY and $S R Y$ were less functionally constrained than $R B M X$, SOX3, and orthologs in platypus and chicken. In contrast, $H S F Y$ was significantly more constrained than $H S F X$, and $H S F Y$ was very similar to the platypus ortholog. Based on our findings, we concluded that 1) at least eight genes differentiated simultaneously in the common ancestor to therians, but gene conversion in eutherians reduced the nucleotide divergence between some allelic gametologs, which resulted in the previous misclassification of these genes into stratum 2, and 2) some Y gametologs gained a new function (e.g., in sex determination or spermatogenesis), whereas HSFY might have maintained its ancestral function.

### 3.2 Introduction

Biological sex, female or male, is determined genetically by sex chromosomes in most organisms. Sex chromosomes have been found in diverse groups, mammals, birds, fishes, insects, plants, and fungi, and probably emerged independently multiple times in different lineages. In general, pairs of sex chromosomes are thought to have evolved from pairs of autosomes (proto-sex chromosomes) (Muller 1914; Ohno 1967).

In species with chromosomally determined sex, a primary sex-determination gene must be located on one of the sex chromosomes. Theoretically, it is thought that the
emergence of a primary sex-determination gene is accompanied by reduction of recombination (Ohno 1967; Nei 1969; B. Charlesworth and D. Charlesworth 2000; D. Charlesworth, B. Charlesworth, Marais 2005; Graves 2006 as a review). Recombination between sex chromosomes is reduced relatively compared with autosomal homologous recombination in a variety of organisms, including humans, mice, cats, chickens, dioecious plants, and smut fungi (Lahn and Page 1999; Sandstedt and Tucker 2004; Handley, Ceplitis and Ellegren 2004; Nicolas et al. 2005; Bergero et al. 2007; Pearks Wilkerson et al. 2008; Nam and Ellegren 2008; Votintseva and Filatov 2009).

Members of the class Mammalia, which comprises Monotremes, Metatheria (marsupials), and Eutheria (eutherians; placental mammals), have XY sex chromosomes (Painter 1923; Rens et al. 2004). In Monotremes, which diverged early in mammalian evolution, the origin of the sex chromosomes, is different from that in Theria (eutherians and marsupials) (Veyrunes et al. 2008). In the common ancestor of Theria, the XY chromosomes were derived from a pair of autosomes syntenic to chromosome 6 in the platypus (Wallis et al. 2007; Veyrunes et al. 2008).

The divergence of X or Y -linked genes was mediated by stepwise suppression of recombination (Lahn and Page, 1999). In the "evolutionary strata" hypothesis homologous X-Y gene pairs (gametologs) in humans are classified into four distinct categories based on the extent of synonymous nucleotide substitutions ( $K_{S}$ ) between gametologs and the position on X chromosomes (Lahn and Page 1999; Skaletsky et al. 2003; Sandstedt and Tucker 2004). Lahn and Page (1999) estimated that stratum 1, which includes $S O X 3 / S R Y$, differentiated before the divergence of Monotremes, and
stratum 2 differentiated before the therian divergence. Skaletsky et al. (2003) suggested that stratum 3 emerged before the eutherian radiation and stratum 4 formed after the divergence between prosimian and simian primates (Lahn and Page 1999; Skaletsky et al. 2003; Iwase et al. 2003). In Monotremes, $S R Y$ is absent and $S O X 3$ is located on an autosome (e.g. the platypus chromosome 6) (Wallis et al. 2007); therefore, gametologs in strata 1 and 2 may have diverged in the stem lineage of Theria. Examining marsupial gametologs is essential to understand what occurred at the early stages of the evolution of mammalian sex chromosomes, but they have not yet been investigated.

In this study, to examine the therian sex chromosomal differentiation, the opossum genome was searched for orthologs to human gametolog pairs. Particular attention was paid to the search for marsupial orthologs of the genes in strata 1 and 2. Phylogenetic relationships of gametologs in marsupials and eutherians analyses were investigated. Finally, we examined how functional divergence of X or Y gametologs occurred by assessing the extent of functional constraint.

### 3.3 Materials and Methods

### 3.3.1 Nucleotide sequences used in this study

Nucleotide sequence data and corresponding annotated gene information were obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and Ensembl databases (release 62;
http://uswest.ensembl.org/index.html). The genomic or transcriptional sequences of six humans (Homo sapiens) and six other mammalian species were used (Table 3.1). The other six mammalian species were the gray short-tailed opossum (Monodelphis domestica) and tammar wallaby (Macropus eugenii), which represented the represent marsupials and mouse (Mus musculus), dog (Canis familiaris), cat (Felis catus), and cow (Bos taurus), which represented eutherians.

### 3.3.2 Gametologs

The following 32 pairs of human or New World monkey gametologs were compiled based on previous studies (Table 3.1). Of the 32 pairs, 19 (SOX3/SRY, RBMX/Y, RPS4X/Y, SMCX/Y, UTX/Y, CASK/CASKP, DBX/Y, DFFRX/Y, ZFX/Y, EIF1AX/Y, TB4X/Y, AMELX/Y, KAL1/KALP, STS/STSP, PRKX/Y, ARSE/ARSEP, ARSD/ARSDP, GYG2/GYG2P, and UBE1X/Y) were taken from Lahn and Page (1999). UBE1Y of UBE1X/Y was not found in humans, but it was found in New World monkeys, such as squirrel monkeys and marmosets; therefore, $U B E 1 X / Y$ was included in this study. Another 10 pairs (ARSF/ARSFP, ADLICAN/ADLICANP, NLGN4X/NLGN4Y, VCX/Y, TBL1X/TBL1Y, OA1/OA1P, APXL/APXLP, OFD1/OFD1P2, CXorf15/CYorf15A,B, and $B C o R / B C o R P$ ) were from Skaletsky et al. (2003), and two gametolog pairs (HSFX/Y, TSPX/Y) were from Ross et al. (2005). Moreover, another pair (XKRX/Y), which was identified in Calenda et al. (2006) and Bhowmick, Satta and Takahata (2007), was also used. Homology searches for the gametologs were performed using the BLAST
program and genomic sequences from the seven mammalian species (including human sequences) as queries. Homologous sequences showing more than $70 \%$ similarity to a query sequence were used in subsequent analyses. To confirm the syntenic position and orthology of X gametologs, sequences adjacent to the homologs were examined. Due to difficulty in confirming orthology on the Y chromosome by synteny, sequences similar to Y gametologs were regarded as orthologs of the human genes. The gene nomenclature abbreviations used for the human gametologs was also used for putative homologs from opossums and other mammals.

### 3.3.3 Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses

The sequences recovered from the BLAST searches were aligned using ClustalX software (Thompson et al. 1997) and subsequent manual corrections were done. Using these alignments, the number of synonymous nucleotide substitutions per synonymous site $\left(K_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ or the proportion of synonymous nucleotide differences per synonymous site $\left(P_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ was calculated using the modified Nei-Gojobori method and an assumed transition/transversion bias of $\mathrm{R}=1$ with the MEGA5.03 program (Tamura et al. 2007). The multiple-hit corrections were performed by means of the Jukes-Cantor model. The number of $K_{\mathrm{S}}$ was also estimated using the Li-Wu-Luo method (Li, Wu, Luo 1985), which uses the Kimura 2 parameter model for the correction, but the $K_{\mathrm{S}}$ value values of most pairs were similar to $K_{S}$ values by Jukes-Cantor model. Phylogenetic trees were constructed with three methods available in the MEGA5.03 program (Tamura et al.
2007): the neighbor-joining (NJ; Saitou and Nei 1987), maximum likelihood (ML; Kishino and Hasegawa 1989), and maximum parsimony methods (MP; Sourdis and Nei 1988). The reliability of the trees was assessed using bootstrap resampling with 1000 replications.

### 3.3.4 Estimation of functional constraints on gametologs

The ratio of nonsynonymous nucleotide divergence $\left(K_{A}\right)$ to $K_{S}$ was used as an indicator of functional constraint. The $K_{A}$ value, like the $K$ s value, was estimated using the modified Nei-Gojobori method with the Jukes-Cantor correction. To examine functional constraint on a branch leading to an X or Y gametolog, a tree of therian X and Y gametologs that included sequence from an outgroup (i.e. platypus or chicken) was constructed. The branch lengths on trees of $K_{A}$ or $K_{S}$ were estimated, and the $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratio for each branch was calculated. The probability of rejecting the null hypothesis of strict-neutrality $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}: K_{A} / K_{S}=1\right)$ was also calculated.

### 3.3.5 Detection of gene conversion

To detect gene conversion, the two-sample Runs Test (Takahata 1994) was used. Using methods developed by Takahata (1994), phylogenetically informative sites in the alignment of gametolog sequences from both eutherians and marsupials were examined. These alignments were assessed using the global test in GENECONV program version
1.81 (Sawyer 1999). The heterogeneity in nucleotide divergence along homologous sequences in these alignments was examined using the window analysis function (window size $=500 \mathrm{bp}$, no overlaps) in DnaSPv5(Librado and Rozas 2009).

### 3.3.6 Estimation of divergence time of gametologs

The oldest Theria fossil is reported from $\sim 167$ million years ago (MYA) (Flynn et al. 1999), but the divergence of eutherians and marsupials began 148-190 MYA based on the molecular clock approach and data from extant Theria (Kumar and Hedges 1998; Woodburne, Rich and Springer 2003; van Rheede et al. 2006); therefore, the timing of the initial divergence between eutherians and marsupials remains somewhat obscure. Consequently, both 148 and 190 million years were used for the estimate to calibrate a molecular clock.

When the opossum and human orthologs of autosomal genes are compared, the average of $K_{S}$ is estimated to be 1.02 ( $0.76-1.44$; Goodstadt et al. 2007). Therefore, the average synonymous substitution rate ( $\mu$ ) for autosomal genes was estimated as 2.68 $\times 10^{-9}-3.45 \times 10^{-9} /$ site/year/lineage for the lineages leading to opossums and humans. Nucleotide sequences at silent sites (synonymous or non-coding sites) on X and Y chromosomes should evolve at different rates, because the mutation rate is higher in the male germ line than the female germ line (Miyata et al. 1987). Moreover, 2/3 of inherited X chromosomes are transmitted through a female, and only a third are transmitted through a male. Assuming a $1: 1$ sex ratio, mutation rate of X -linked genes
may be estimated as the sum of two-thirds of the female mutation rate and one-third of the male rate. In contrast, $Y$ chromosome are only transmitted through the male germ line and mutations of Y-linked genes, therefore, occur at the male rate. The majority of previous studies indicate that the male mutation rate in most eutherian species is two times higher than the female rate (male mutation rate/female mutation rate $(\alpha)=\sim 2$; Makova, Yang and Chiaromonte 2004; Lindblad-Toh et al 2005; Elango et al. 2009), but some estimates indicate that the male rate is six-times higher in some species ( $\alpha=$ ~6; Makova and Li 2002; Taylor et al. 2005). Here $\alpha$ of 2 was used. If $2.68 \times 10^{-9}-3.45$ $\times 10^{-9}$ of nucleotide substitution rate $(\mu)$ for autosomal sequences was used, estimates of $\mu$ of $X$ or $Y$ chromosomal sequences were $2.38 \times 10^{-9}-3.07 \times 10^{-9}$ or $3.57 \times 10^{-9}-4.60$ $\times 10^{-9}$, respectively, because the autosomal substitution rate is the mean of the female and male substitution rates. Then substitution rate for gametologs is $5.95 \times 10^{-9}-7.67 \times$ $10^{-9}$.

### 3.4 Results

### 3.4.1 Divergence of marsupial gametologs

Based on $K_{S}$ values of human gametologs, previous studies have suggested that marsupial X chromosomes would have strata 1 and 2 (Lahn and Page 1999; Skaletsky et al. 2002). Here, 32 pair of human gametologs including the New World monkey
sequences were compiled from several studies (Lahn and Page 1999; Skaletsky et al. 2002; Ross et al. 2005; Calenda et al 2006; Bhowmick, Satta and Takahata 2007). Among the 32 pairs, five are in stratum 1, three are in stratum 2, 11 are in stratum 3, and 13 are in stratum 4. For simplicity and clarity, we did not consider the five-strata hypothesis proposed by Ross et al. (2005), in which stratum 4 was subdivided into strata 4 and 5 . We searched for opossum orthologs of the 32 human gametologs and examined whether or not the same strata were observed on the opossum X chromosome. The opossum genome had orthologs of 30 gametolog pairs; seven of the 30 opossum orthologs were located on the sex chromosomes, 7 on chromosome 4 , and 16 on chromosome 7 (Fig. 3.1). Opossum orthologs of two gametolog pairs in strata 2 and 4 of the human X chromosome ( $T S P X / Y$ and $V C X / Y$, respectively) were not found in the opossum genome. The 23 opossum genes that were found on opossum autosomes, chromosomes 4 and 7, were orthologous to human genes in strata 3 and 4 (Fig. 3.1). Of the seven sex-lined opossum orthologs, five genes (SOX3, RBMX, RPS4X, HSFX and $X K R X$ ) were homologous to human genes in stratum 1 and two (SMCX and UBE1X) were homologous to human genes stratum 2. Among the seven sex-linked opossum genes identified, six had Y gametologs, but one, $X K R X$, did not in the opossum genome.

The locations of the seven genes on the long arm of the opossum X chromosome differed from those of the human orthologs (Fig. 3.1). In particular, the opossum UBE1X and SMCX were located on the distal end of the long arm and were sandwiched between SOX3 and RPS4X (Fig. 3.1), but the human UBE1X and SMCX genes are located at the proximal end of the short arm and the other five human genes
are on the long arm.
To elucidate the early stages of therian sex chromosomal evolution and differentiation, we focused on the gametologs that were found in both opossum and human X chromosomes (Table. 3.2). We estimated $K_{S}$ values for 13 gametolog pairs (7 human pairs and 6 opossum pairs) and compared the values between marsupials and eutherians (Table. 3.2). The average $K_{S}$ values for the eutherian gametolog pairs were $2.45 \pm 0.31$ for $H S F X / Y, 1.15 \pm 0.23$ for $S O X 3 / S R Y, 0.88 \pm 0.11$ for $R B M X / Y, 1.47 \pm$ 0.13 for $X K R X / Y, 1.20 \pm 0.31$ for $R P S 4 X / Y, 0.70 \pm 0.19$ for $U B E 1 X / Y$, and $0.64 \pm 0.12$ for $S M C X / Y$ (Table. 3.2). According to Lahn and Page (1999), the eutherian $S M C X$ and UBE1X genes are in stratum 2, and the recombination rate between the X and Y alleles of these gene slowed after the alleles of the other five gametolog pairs had diverged due to reduced recombination rates. In opossums, however, $K_{S}$ values for $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$ were $2.05 \pm 0.042$ and $1.47 \pm 0.044$, respectively, more than twice the corresponding eutherian values ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$, Table. 3.2). The $P_{\mathrm{S}}$ (Table 3.2) or $d_{\mathrm{S}}$ values calculated using the Li-Wu-Luo method also differed significantly between marsupials and eutherians ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). For example, the $d_{\mathrm{s}}$ of $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$ were $3.45 \pm$ 0.054 and $1.34 \pm 0.042$, respectively, in opossums and $0.83 \pm 0.23$ and $0.75 \pm 0.14$, respectively, in eutherians. However, $K_{S}, P_{\mathrm{S}}$, and $d_{\mathrm{S}}$ values for the other gametolog pairs in opossum were not significantly different from those for the eutherian orthologs ( $\mathrm{P}>$ $0.05)$. Furthermore, when the opossum $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$ were compared with the eutherian genes in the stratum 1 , the $K_{S}, P_{\mathrm{S}}$, and $d_{\mathrm{S}}$ values were not significantly different $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. Based on these observations, the marsupial $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$
gametolog pairs diverged at the same time when the eutherian gametolog pairs in stratum 1 diverged. Evidentially, the opossum gametolog pairs did not differentiate in two phases, as did their eutherian orthologs, and suppression of recombination probably occurred only once in marsupials.

### 3.4.2 Phylogenetic analyses of gametologs in Theria

To estimate the relative timing of differentiation of seven gametolog pairs, phylogenetic analyses of orthologs of these genes were performed using synonymous nucleotide substitutions (Fig. 3.2). Here, we tried to determine whether the alleles differentiated before or after the therian divergence. Phylogenies of four gametolog pairs (HSFX/Y, SOX3/SRY, RBMX/Y, and XKRX/Y) contained separate monophyletic clusters for X- and Y-linked genes (Fig. 3.2 $A-D$ ). This topology indicated that these gametolog pairs differentiated before the therian divergence. In contrast, the trees constructed using the other three gametolog pairs ( $R P S 4 X / Y, S M C X / Y$, and $U B E 1 X / Y$ ) had topologies that differed from the trees described above (Fig. 3.2 E-G). The RPS4X/Y tree indicated that the marsupial gametologs were monophyletic, but the eutherian gametologs were paraphyletic (Fig. 3.2E). The clusters of marsupial X and Y genes were more closely related to eutherian X clusters than to Y clusters (Fig. 3.2E). By contrast, $S M C X / Y$ and UBE1X/Y trees indicated that the eutherian gametologs formed monophyly, and the gametologs of eutherians and marsupials formed paraphyly (Fig. 3.2FG). The topology of seven phylogenies was confirmed using three different methods: NJ, ML, and MP.

The topologies of the trees based on all nucleotide substitutions (including both synonymous and nonsynonymous substitutions) were the same as those of trees based only on synonymous substitutions (data not shown).

To evaluate the topology of the gametolog phylogenies by different means, we examined the distribution and number of phylogenetically informative sites. For this purpose, we used only the second position of codons even for the comparisons of distantly related species; at this position, substitutions were unlikely to be saturated. $X K R X / Y$ was excluded from this analysis because the Y homolog was not present in the opossum genome. For simplicity, four OTUs of gametolog phylogeny were used; these were X and Y sequences from both marsupials (opossums) and eutherians (humans or cats), which each OTU is represented by EX (eutherian X), EY (eutherian Y), MX (marsupial X) and MY (marsupial Y). A phylogenetically informative site supports one of three possible topologies (Fig. 3.3). One topology (topology A: Fig. 3.3A) is supported by the following partition: ((EX, MX), (EY, MY)); this type of partition of informative sites would indicate that gametologs differentiated before the therian divergence. In cases where gametologs had differentiated after the therian divergence, the partition is represented as ((EX, EY), (MX, MY)) (topology B: Fig. 3.3B). The partition represented as ((EX, MY), (MX, EY)) cannot be explained, but this topology could occur by chance (topology C: Fig. 3.3C). The number of phylogenetically informative sites for each topology is shown in Table 3.3.

Phylogenetically informative sites were analyzed for six gametolog pairs (HSFX/Y, SOX3/SRY, RBMX/Y, RPS4X/Y, UBE1X/Y, and SMCX/Y). The analysis of
informative sites in SOX3/SRY and RBMX/Y supported topology A (Fig. 3.3A), and indicated that these gametologs differentiated before the therian divergence (Table 3.3). These findings were consistent with the topology of the phylogenetic trees constructed based on analysis of nucleotide sequences (Figs. $3.2 B$ and $C$ ). The number of informative sites in $H S F X / Y$ and $R P S 4 X / Y$ was too small to support any model. The analysis of informative sites in $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$ supported topology B (Fig. 3.3B) and indicated that the gametologs differentiated after the therian divergence (Table 3.3). However, these results were inconsistent with the topology of the trees constructed based on analysis of nucleotide sequences. To explain this inconsistency, we invoked genetic exchange between X and Y chromosomes, which is also called gene conversion

### 3.4.3 Gene conversion between gametologs

We searched for bias in the distribution of informative sites. In only $U B E 1 X / Y$, the bias of distribution was found; for eutherian gametologs the topologies supported by the informative sites were different between the 5' (SMCX/Ya: 1st to 10th exons) and 3' (SMCX/Yb: 11th to last exons) ends of the gene (Table 3.3). The informative sites in $S M C X / Y a$ indicated differentiation between X and Y before the therian divergence, but those in $S M C X / Y b$ indicated differentiation occurred after the therian divergence (Table 3.3). In fact, the phylogenetic trees inferred for $S M C X / Y a$ and $b$ supported the above observation of informative sites (Fig. 3.4). Furthermore, the $K_{S}$ of eutherian $S M C X / Y b$ was lower than that of $S M C X / Y a$ (Table 3.4). If the $S M C X / Y$ gametologs differentiated
before the therian divergence, genetic exchange by gene conversion or recombination in $S M C X / Y b$ must have occurred in the eutherian lineage. Here, gene conversion and recombination would be indistinguishable from each other, but the possibility of gene conversion between X - and Y-linked genes was investigated using statistical tests. A RUNS TEST showed that the distribution of phylogenetically informative sites was significantly different between $S M C X / Y a$ and $S M C X / Y b(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$, and GENCONV revealed which segment of the eutherian $S M C X / Y b$ region was subject to gene conversion.

In addition, we performed a comparison of nucleotide sequences for the whole region including introns using a window analysis to estimate the number of nucleotide differences per site. This analysis showed that the nucleotide differences varied greatly across the genes (Fig. 3.5). Along the human $S M C X / Y$ genes, most regions showed evidence of substantial divergence $(\sim 0.6$; Fig. $3.5 A)$, but the sequence at the 3' end, which included $S M C X / Y b$, showed lower divergence ( $0.2-0.4 ; \sim 5 \mathrm{~kb}$; Fig. 3.5A). Because $U B E 1 X / Y$ was categorized as being in stratum 2 in only eutherians, mouse $U B E 1 X / Y$ genomic sequences were also analyzed. The result showed that the nucleotide difference between mouse UBE1X and mouse UBE1Y was low ( $\sim 0.4 ; \sim 2 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) in the 3' region, as was the case for human $S M C X / Y$ (Figs. $3.5 A$ and $B$ ).

### 3.4.4 Comparison of functional constraint between genes

To compare the extent of functional constraint of gametologs in marsupials and
eutherians, functional constraint was examined by estimating the $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratio. First, $K_{A}$ $/ K_{S}$ ratios were examined between gametologs in several species (humans, marmosets, mice, cats, cows, dogs, opossums, wallabies, and stripe-faced dunnarts) to assess the type of selection acting on gametologs. The analysis using most eutherian genes revealed that purifying selection $(0.29 \pm 0.15 ; \mathrm{P}<0.05 ; \mathrm{Z}=-2.00--16.11)$ was operating. However, purifying selection was not evident in mouse $\operatorname{SOX} 3 / \operatorname{SRY}(1.92 ; \mathrm{P}=$ $0.001 ; \mathrm{Z}=3.50)$ or cat $R P X 4 X / Y(1.97 ; \mathrm{P}=0.022 ; \mathrm{Z}=2.33)$ ", and the $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratios of these two gametologs may reflect relaxation of functional constraint or positive selection acting on X or Y gametologs.

To distinguish the two possibilities of relaxation of functional constraint or positive selection in the cat $R P X 4 X / Y$ and mouse $S R Y / S O X 3$, we next studied the variation in $K_{A} / K_{S}$ among the lineages leading to X and Y gametologs. The ratio at the branch leading to the mouse $\operatorname{SRY}$ was much higher $\left(1.57 ; \mathrm{H}_{0}: K_{A} / K_{S}=1, \mathrm{P}<0.001 ; \mathrm{Z}=\right.$ 7.16) than those leading to human (0.13) or opossum $\operatorname{SRY}(0.14)$, and the estimate suggested the presence of positive selection in the mouse $S R Y$ lineage. This was consistent with the previous study demonstrating an elevated nonsynonymous substitution rate in the mouse $S R Y$ (Jansa, Lundrigan, Tucker 2003). The ratio at the branch leading to cat $R P X 4 Y$ was also high $(1.13 \pm 0.024)$ compared with that leading to the human RPX4Y ( $0.13 \pm 0.035$ ). However, the ratio of cat RPX4Y was not significantly different from one $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}: K_{A} / K_{S}=1, \mathrm{P}>0.05\right)$, raising the possibility that the cat RPX4Y gene is becoming a pseudogene (pseudogenization).

In other eutherians, the ratios on the branch leading to the Y gametologs
(UBE1Y, RPS4Y, RBMY and SRY) were higher than those leading to the X gametologs (UBE1X, RPS4X, RBMX, and SOX3), suggesting a general tendency toward relaxation of functional constraint on the Y gametologs. In addition, compared with marsupial genes, the average $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratios of four eutherian genes (UBE1X/Y, RPS4X/Y, RBMX/Y, and $S O X 3 / S R Y$ ) were relatively high (Table 3.5), even after excluding mouse $S O X 3 / S R Y$ and cat $R P X 4 X / Y$.

In marsupials, for three gametolog pairs (SMCX/Y, RBMX/Y, and $S O X 3 / S R Y$ ), the ratios at the branch leading to Y gametologs were larger than those leading to X gametologs. In contrast, for the other three pairs (HSFX/Y, UBE1X/Y, and RPS4X/Y), the ratios for X gametologs were higher than those for Y gametologs (Table 3.5). In the opossum, the ratio at the branch leading to $\operatorname{SMCY}(0.43)$ was three times higher than that leading to $\operatorname{SMCX}(0.15)$, indicating that the functional constraint on the $S M C Y$ had relaxed. A similar tendency was observed for $R B M Y$ and $S R Y$ (Table 3.5). By contrast, the ratio at the branch leading to the marsupial $\operatorname{HSFX}(0.73)$ was three times higher than that leading to marsupial $\operatorname{HSFY}(0.22)$. The high $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratio of $H S F X$ was also observed in the eutherian (HSFX: $0.57 \pm 0.048, H S F Y: 0.20 \pm 0.13$; Table 3.5). Compared with the ratio of the outgroup sequence, the ratio of marsupial and eutherian HSFX was also high, but that of the $H S F Y$ was approximately the same (Table 3.5). The functional constraint on therian $H S F X$ was relaxed. Similarly, the relatively high ratio of marsupial UBE1X and RPS4X indicated that functional constraint was relaxed in the marsupial (Table 3.4). Compared with the corresponding outgroup, however, the ratios of UBE1X and RPS4X were relatively low, and that of UBE1Y and RPS4Y (Table 3.5)
was also low. Thus, the functional constraint on $U B E 1 X / Y$ and $R P S 4 X / Y$ may have become strong in the therian ancestor.

### 3.5 Discussion

### 3.5.1 Loss or pseudogenization of gametologs in therian evolution

The seven pairs of gametologs are not found in all species used in this study (Table 3.3). Each species might independently lose X-linked or Y-linked genes after speciation or divergence of taxa. The $Y$ chromosome has degenerated rapidly and substantially reorganized (Hughes et al. 2010). For example, UBE1Y has been lost at least twice in primates, in the stem lineage of Catarrhini (hominoids and Old World monkeys) and in the lineage to marmosets after the radiation of New World monkeys (Mitchell et al. 1998). Additionally, $R P S 4 Y$ has been found in primates, cats, and marsupials, but not in rodents, pigs, cows, and horses (Omoe and Endo 1996; Jegalian and Page 1998; Peaks Wilkerson et al. 2008). These examples show that independent loss of Y-linked genes occurred at least three times in eutherians. While $X K R Y$ is only present in primates, but $X K R X / Y$ unlikely emerged in primates because of the relatively large estimates of $K_{S}$ for $X K R X / Y$ (Bhowmick, Satta and Takahata 2007). Considering that some Y-liked genes have been lost independently in different lineages, $X K R Y$ may also have been lost several times in mammals, but the absence of some Y-linked genes from current
genomic data may be due to incomplete Y chromosome sequences rather than evolutionary processes.

Most X-linked genes are well conserved in Theria (Murphy et al. 1999; Deakin et al. 2008). In some species, however, we could not find some X-linked genes. In mice and rats, an $H S F Y$-like gene was found on an autosome, but $H S F$ was not found on the X or Y chromosome in either species. Although $H S F X / Y$ in mammals generally has a gene structure with introns, the autosomal copy in rodents had only one exon, this gene structure resembles processed genes. Moreover, these autosomal rodent sequences formed a monophyletic cluster with $H S F Y$ genes (Fig. 3.3A; Mumu Y). These findings may indicate that, in the ancestor of rodents, the $H S F Y$ retrotransposed to the autosome and the X - and Y -linked genes were subsequently lost. In addition, $T S P X / Y$ are absent in marsupials. The estimated divergence time of human TSPX and TSPY was 178-138 MYA bases on analysis of synonymous substitutions ( $K_{S}=1.06 \pm 0.20$; Table 3.6), and the estimated time was approximately the same as the therian divergence time (193-186 MYA). This dating, however, could not rule out either of two explanations for the emergence of $T S P X / Y$; these genes emerged first in the eutherian lineage or they were lost only in the marsupial lineage after having emerged in the therian ancestor.

The mechanism of gene loss could be deletion and/or accumulation of mutations. Indeed, the current study showed that cat RPXAY may be in initial stage of pseudogenization. In addition, multiple copies of the human $H S F X / Y, X K R Y, R B M Y$, RPS4Y, and TSPY genes are present in the human genome and sequences comparisons indicated that functional constraints on extra copies are relaxed (data not shown). In fact,
pseudogenization was reported for a few copies of $X K R Y, R B M Y$, and $T S P Y$ (Bhowmick, Satta, and Takahata 2007).

### 3.5.2 Gene conversion between gametologs

Frequent gene conversion between X and Y chromosomes has been observed (Pecon Slattery, Sanner-Wachter and O'Brien 2000; Skaletsky et al. 2003; Rozen et al. 2003; Ross et al. 2005; Iwase et al. 2010). Our results indicated possible gene conversion at eutherian $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$ loci. We also suggested that the gene conversion might have occurred in the marsupial $R P S 4 X / Y$.

The large $K_{S}$ value of $R P S 4 X / Y$ indicated that X- and Y-linked genes diverged before the therian divergence. The phylogeny inferred from nucleotide sequences indicated that each therian RPS4X and $Y$ gene did not form a separate monophyletic cluster, but rather the marsupial $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}$ genes were more closely related to the eutherian X genes than to the eutherian Y genes (Fig. 3.3E), and the tree constructed using amino acid sequences had the same topology (data not shown). The branch lengths leading to the opossum and human RPS4X genes were approximately the same, but the branch length leading to RPS4Y was significantly shorter in opossums than in humans; the former was approximately one-sixth of the latter (the number of amino acid substitutions of opossum RPS4X: $8.25 \pm 0.18$, RPS4Y: $2.75 \pm 0.10$; human RPS4X: $4.25 \pm 0.13$, RPS4Y: $16.25 \pm 0.25$ ). These observations strongly suggested that the Y chromosomal sequence was converted to the X chromosomal sequences in the opossum.

In a similar way, the direction of gene conversion was determined as "from Y to X " for eutherian $S M C X / Y$ (Figs. 3,3F and 3.4B).

The $K$ s of human $S M C X / Y a$ (the 5 ' end of gene) was $0.88 \pm 0.050$ and that of $S M C X / Y b$ (the 3 ' end of gene) was $0.50 \pm 0.020$ (Table 3.4). The $K_{S}$ of the entire gene was calculated as $0.59 \pm 0.022$, and this value was lower than the average $K_{S}$ of genes in stratum $1(1.44 \pm 0.066 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$. The $K_{S}$ values estimated for mouse, cat, and dog $S M C X / Y a$ and $b$ were similar to the values for human (Table 3.4), suggesting that gene conversion at $S M C X / Y b$ occurred in the eutherian ancestor. This observation was largely consistent with previous results of Sandstedt and Tucker (2004); they concluded that mouse $S M C X / Y$ was in stratum 1, not stratum 2, based on evidence that the number of nucleotide differences between mouse $S M C X$ and $S M C Y$ was low at the 3 ' end, as it is in humans. A partial reduction in nucleotide divergence between gametologs was observed in UBE1X/Y (Figs. 3.5B); because of this reduction, the $K_{S}$ for the entire $U B E 1 X / Y$ gene was low (Table 3.2, 3.4 and Figs. 3.5A, B), as was the case for $S M C X / Y$. Excluding the regions showing unusually low nucleotide divergence, $S M C X / Y$ and UBE1X/Y showed approximately the same extent of divergence as that of the other five genes in stratum 1 (Table 3.2, 3.4 and Figs. 3.5A, B). If gene conversion between gametologs in eutherians occurred in $S M C X / Y$ and $U B E 1 X / Y$, two independent reductions in recombination generating two strata, 1 and 2, as proposed by Lahn and Page (1999), are not required to explain the observed estimates.

### 3.5.3 Marsupial sex chromosome differentiation

Nucleotide and amino acid sequence divergence between gametologs in marsupials and eutherians support the hypothesis that the marsupial X chromosome did differentiate in a steps. In addition to the analysis of the seven genes described in the Results section, another gene, $A T R X$, was also examined. This analysis also supported the presence of single stratum in marsupials. Marsupial and eutherian $\operatorname{ATRX}$ are both located on the long arm of the X chromosome, but the Y gametolog was found only in marsupials (Pask, Renfree, and Graves 2000, Carvalho-Silva et al. 2004). $K_{S}$ of the marsupial $A T R X / Y$ was estimated as $1.03 \pm 0.13$ (Table. 3.6), this estimate was approximately same as those for the other six pairs of marsupial gametologs (Table. 1 and $\mathrm{S} 2 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). In a phylogenetic tree of $A T R X / Y$ homologs that was based on the synonymous differences, the marsupial ATRX did not form a cluster with the marsupial ATRY; instead, it clustered with eutherian $A T R X$, suggesting that the gametologs differentiated before the therian divergence (Fig. 3.6). In the eutherian ancestor, ATRY may have been lost from the Y chromosome (Pask, Renfree, and Graves 2000, Carvalho-Silva et al. 2004).

### 3.5.4 Functional constraints on eutherian and marsupial gametologs

In the comparison of $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratios, the extent of functional constraint on gametologs in marsupials was different from that in eutherians. In marsupials, the functional constraints on SMCY, UBE1X and RPS4X were relaxed; whereas, in eutherians, the
constraints on UBE1Y, RPS4Y, RBMY, and SRY were relaxed. These observations indicated that marsupial- or eutherian-specific functional differentiation occurred after the therian divergence.

In general, the individual $K_{A} / K_{S}$ of branches leading to each X and Y gene implies that the extent of functional differentiation was different between X and Y chromosomes. The functional constraint on Y gametologs was relatively weaker than the constrains on X gametologs (Table 4, XY: $0.40 \pm 0.033 ; \mathrm{X}: 0.19 \pm 0.095 ; \mathrm{Y}: 0.21 \pm$ 0.095 in marsupial $A T R X / Y ; \mathrm{XY}: 0.88 ; \mathrm{X}: 0.24 ; \mathrm{Y}: 0.49$ in the human $T S P X / Y$ ). Compared with the orthologs in the outgroups, the functional constraints on marsupial and eutherian $R B M Y$ and $S R Y$ were relaxed; these findings indicated that functional divergence of the Y genes from the X -linked alleles. However, $H S F X / Y$ was a rare case; the functional constraints on the X gametologs had relaxed in both marsupials and eutherians. These observations may indicate that $H S F X$ is in the initial stage of pseudogenization. In fact, the cow $H S F X$ had premature stop codons and was annotated as a peseudogene (XR_084125). $H S F$ belongs to a group of highly conserved regulators that play function as transcriptional activators of heat shock protein (HSP) family (Neuer et al. 2000, Tessari et al. 2004). The HSP family is expressed in response to stresses, such as elevated temperatures, and it plays an essential role in reproduction (Neuer et al. 2000). Human HSFY is expressed in testis and is predicted to have a function in spermatogenesis (Tessari et al. 2004), but the function of HSFX remains unknown. In all therian species examined in this study, the functional constraint on HSFY and ancestral orthologs were stronger than on $H S F X$. We hypothesized that the
function of $H S F$ in the common ancestor of therians has been carried out by $H S F Y$ in therians. In short, the strong functional constraint on coding regions in $H S F Y$ might have been firmly established before therian divergence.

### 3.5.5 Differentiation of sex chromosome in Theria

Here, sex-chromosome differentiation during very early therein evolution was investigated (Fig. 3.7). At least eight gametolog pairs (HSFX/Y, SOX3/SRY, RBMX/Y, $X K R X / Y, R P S 4 X / Y, S M C X / Y, U B E 1 X / Y$ and $A T R X / Y$ ) differentiated simultaneously in the stem lineage of Theria (Fig. 3.7). Repetitive sequences that could be used as cladistic markers were sought using RepeatMasker software (Smit 1996), but the gametologs did not have common or informative repetitive sequences. Instead, the divergence time of gametologs was estimated (see Methods for details). Except for three pairs of gametologs that were possibly subject to gene conversion (RPS4X/Y, SMCX/Y, $U B E 1 X / Y)$ in specific lineages, the average of $K_{S}$ of the eight genes was $1.33 \pm 0.63$; this Ks value indicated that the differentiation of these gametologs occurred 224-173 MYA. This estimate of 224-173 MYA further indicated that X-Y differentiation occurred around or after monotremes diverged (231-217 MYA; van Rheede et al. 2005; Fig. 3.7).

The results of this study support the hypothesis that the recombination was suppressed along the entire proto-sex chromosome pair simultaneously in the therian ancestor. The gradual or stepwise suppression of recombination by genetic linkage of
male-specific genes on the Y chromosome has been proposed (Nei 1969; D. Charlesworth, B. Charlesworth, Marais 2005; Graves 2006). Here, it is proposed that the cause of the suppression of recombination between proto-sex chromosomes in the ancestral therian lineage might have been extensive rearrangement, a chromosomal inversion for example (Ohno 1967; Lahn and Page 1999). If, by chance, an autosome with an inversion also carried a sex-reversal mutation or an allele that conferred a sex-specific benefit, the corresponding autosome pair could have differentiated into sex chromosomes (van Doorn and Kirkpatick 2007). Our results indicated that, in the ancestral therian, $H S F Y$ may have specifically benefitted males.

### 3.6 Conclusion

In the present study, differentiation of sex chromosomes in the common ancestor of eutherians and marsupials was analyzed to understand the early process of sex chromosomal evolution. Contrary to the hypotheses proposed by Lahn and Page (1999), our data indicated that suppression of recombination occurred once in the therian ancestor. Moreover, we proposed that previously undetected gene conversion event confounded the findings of earlier studies. We concluded that eight gametolog pairs differentiated simultaneously in the therian ancestor. The initial event leading to sex-chromosome differentiation could have been a gross chromosomal rearrangement, such as an inversion, that lead to suppression of recombination between the proto-sex
chromosomes; subsequent functional diversification of a sex-determination gene and sex-differentiation genes then occurred on the X and/or Y chromosomes.

### 3.7 Gene nomenclature

Due to alterations in nomenclature, clarification is required for the following genes.
SMCX: aliases KDM5C, JARID1C, DXS1272E, and XE169
SMCY: aliases KDM5D, JARID1D, HY, HYA, and KIAA0234

UBE1X: aliases UBA1, UBE1, A1S9T, A1S9, GXP1, POC20, and SBX
UBE1Y: aliases A1S9Y1, SBY, UBE2, and UBE1Y1
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### 3.9 Figure Legends

Figure 3.1 The syntenic relationship between the human $X$ chromosome and opossum chromosomes 7,14 , and $X$.

Human and opossum orthologs are connected by gray lines. In the human X chromosome, each stratum is indicated by a unique color (stratum 1, magenta; stratum 2, yellow; stratum 3, green; and stratum 4, blue). In the opossum chromosomes, regions homologous with strata 1 and 2 of the human X chromosome are indicated by magenta and yellow, respectively.

Figure 3.2 The phylogenetic relationships among seven gametologs.
These trees were based on the number of synonymous nucleotide differences per synonymous site $\left(P_{S}\right)$. The bootstrap values indicated refer to branches only. A bootstrap value of more than $50 \%$ is shown. Sequences are listed in Table 3.1. The number of synonymous sites compared without gaps and the number of operation taxonomy units (OTUs) were as follows: (A) HSFX/Y (53 sites; 14 OTUs), (B) SOX3/SRY (101 sites; 16 OTUs), (C) RBMX/Y (107 sites; 17 OTUs), (D) $X K R X / Y$ (70 sites; 15 OTUs), ( $E$ ) RPS4X/Y (289 sites; 11 OTUs), ( $F$ ) SMCX/Y (114 sites; 12 OTUs), (G) UBE1X/Y (140 sites; 11 OTUs). Platypus sequences were used as the outgroups, except in the cases of trees $B$ and $D$. For trees $B$ and $D$, chicken sequences were used as the outgroups. A vertical gray bar aside a tree showed a monophyletic cluster of X- or Y-linked genes. Bold lines in $E, F$, and $G$ show marsupial or eutherian-specific clusters. OTU shows in bold were marsupial. The abbreviations used for species names are as follows: Bota (Bos taraus), Cafa (Canis familiaris), Caja (Callithrix jacchus), Eqca (Equus caballus), Feca (Felis catus), Gaga (Gallus gallus), Hosa (Homo sapiens), Loaf (Loxodonta africana), Maeu (Macropus eugenii), Modo (Monodelphis domestica),

Mumu (Mus musculus), Orna (Ornithorhynchus anatinus), and Smma (Sminthopsis macroura).

Figure 3.3 The three possible topologies for comparisons among four genes (eutherian and marsupial $\mathbf{X} / \mathrm{Y}$ genes).

If gametologs differentiated before speciation, X - or Y -linked genes should form respective monophyletic clusters, as shown in ( $A$ ). If gametologs differentiated after the speciation or lineage-specific recombination (gene conversion) between X and Y genes occurred, the two genes from a species should form a monophyletic cluster, as shown in $(B)$. The remaining possibility is shown in (C), which cannot be explained by any simple evolutionary scenario. The abbreviations used in this figure are as follows: EX (a eutherian X gene); EY (a eutherian Y gene); MX (a marsupial X gene); MY (a marsupial Y gene).

## Figure 3.4 The phylogenic relationships among $S M C X$ and $S M C Y$ genes.

The tree was based on the number of synonymous nucleotide differences per synonymous site $\left(P_{S}\right)$. Only the bootstrap value of more than $50 \%$ was indicated. The tree for the $5^{\prime}$ portion of the gene (SMCX/Ya; 1st-10th exons) is shown in the left panel (A) and that of the 3' portion (SMCX/Yb; 11th-last exons) is shown in the right panel (B). The number of synonymous sites compared was $404 \mathrm{bp}(A)$ or $972 \mathrm{bp}(B)$ without gaps, and 11 OTUs were used. The vertical grays bar in (A) indicate monophyletic clusters of X- or Y-linked genes. Bold lines in (B) indicate a eutherian cluster of both X
and Y-linked genes. OTU shows in bold are marsupial. The abbreviations used for species names are the same as those used in Fig. 3.3.

## Figure 3.5 Window analyses of nucleotide divergence of human $S M C X$ and $S M C Y$

 genes (A) and mouse UBE1X and UBE1Y genes (B).The window size was 500 bp , and overlap between adjacent windows was not permitted. The ordinate represents the extent of nucleotide differences ( $p$-distance), and the abscissa represents the position of the nucleotide (bp). Position 1 corresponds to the beginning of exon1.

Figure 3.6 The phylogenic relationship of $A T R X / Y$ based on the $P_{S}$. The bootstrap value is indicated for each branch. Only the bootstrap values of more than $50 \%$ are shown. The number of synonymous sites compared was 1473 without gaps, and nine OTUs were used. The vertical gray bars beside the tree indicate monophyletic clusters of X-linked genes. OUTs shown in bold are marsupial. The abbreviations for species names are the same as those used in Fig. 3.3.

Figure 3.7 Schematic diagram of sex chromosome evolution in Theria.

After the divergence of Theria, recombination was suppressed over a region containing least eight genes on the proto-XY chromosome. In the stem lineage of marsupials gene conversion occurred between RPS4X and RPS4Y, and in the stem lineage of eutherians, it occurred between SMCX and SMCY and between UBE1X and UBE1Y.
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Figure 3.4 The phylogenic relationships of SMCX/Y.

## A human SMCX/Y genes


$B$ mouse UBE1X/Y gene


Figure 3.5
Window analyses of nucleotide divergence of human SMCX/Y (A) and that of mouse UBE1X/Y (B) genes.
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Figure 3.6
The phylogenic relationship of ATRX/Y.
The tree was based on the number of synonymous differences per site (p-distances).

Table 3.1
GENEBANK and Ensenble accession number of nucleotide sequences used in this study.

| humans | chromosomal location | opossums | chromosomal location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GYG2 (ENSG00000056998) | X:2746863-2800859 | ENSMODG00000000060 (ENSMODG00000000060) | 7:47119939-47161669 |
| ARSD (ENSG00000006756) | X:2822011-2847392 | ARSD (ENSMODG00000000049) | 7:47046839-47075941 |
| ARSE (ENSG00000157399) | X:2852857-2882311 | ARSE (ENSMODG00000000044) | 7:46985689-47018873 |
| ARSF (ENSG00000062096) | X:2959512-3030767 | ARSF (ENSMODG00000025479) | 7:46808022-46860449 |
| MXRA5 (ENSG00000101825) | X:3226606-3264684 | MXRA5 (ENSMODG00000000020) | 7:46441638-46471702 |
| PRKX (ENSG00000183943) | X:3522411-3631649 | LOC100009830 XM_001362299.1 (ENSMODG00000000005) | 7:45783562-45973283 |
| NLGN4X (ENSG00000146938) | X:5758678-6146904 | ENSMODG00000017519 (ENSMODG00000017519) | 7:38885072-39215410 |
| STS (ENSG00000101846) | X:7137497-7272851 | LOC100032212 XM_001381242.1 (ENSMODG00000017503) | 7:37024439-37125870 |
| VCX (ENSG00000182583) | X:7810303-7812184 | No homologues | - |
| KAL1 (ENSG00000011201) | X:8496915-8700227 | KAL1 (ENSMODG00000017475) | 7:34572132-34793913 |
| TBL1X (ENSG00000101849) | X:9431335-9687780 | ENSMODG00000017467 (ENSMODG00000017467) | 7:33047290-33069728 |
| GPR143 (ENSG00000101850) | X:9693386-9754337 | GPR143 (ENSMODG00000017452) | 7:32947332-33001610 |
| SHROOM2 (ENSG00000146950) | X:9754496-9917483 | SHROOM2 (ENSMODG00000017449) | 7:32687003-32760832 |
| AMELX (ENSG00000125363) | X:11311533-11318881 | AMEL_MONDO (ENSMODG00000017370) | 7:30712142-30715587 |
| TMSB4X (ENSG00000205542) | X:12993226-12995346 | LOC100017869 (ENSMODG00000017326) | 7:28385814-28386554 |
| OFD1 (ENSG00000046651) | X:13752832-13787480 | OFD1 (ENSMODG00000017308) | 7:27030190-27332110 |
| CXorf15 (ENSG00000086712) | X:16804550-16862642 | ENSMODG00000017162 (ENSMODG00000017162) | 7:22857309-22901405 |
| EIF1AX (ENSG00000173674) | X:20142636-20159962 | EIF1AX (ENSMODG00000008104) | 4:48149768-48307513 |
| ZFX (ENSG00000005889) | X:24167290-24234206 | O19019_MONDO (ENSMODG00000007512) | 4:42457713-42507393 |
| BCOR (ENSG00000183337) | X:39909068-40036582 | BCOR (ENSMODG00000021102) | 4:24014261-24049832 |
| USP9X (ENSG00000124486) | X:40944888-41092185 | LOC100017331 XM_001366565.1 (ENSMODG00000021098) | 4:22456254-22624222 |
| DDX3X (ENSG00000215301) | $\mathrm{X}: 41192651-41223725$ | ENSMODG00000021097 (ENSMODG00000021097) | 4:22331869-22343917 |
| CASK (ENSG00000147044) | $\mathrm{X}: 41374187-41782716$ | CASK (ENSMODG00000021095) | 4:21956848-22098059 |
| KDM6A (ENSG00000147050) | $\mathrm{X}: 44732423-44971847$ | ENSMODG00000021087 (ENSMODG00000021087) | 4:17894017-18145835 |
| UBA1/UBE1X (ENSG00000130985) | $\mathrm{X}: 47050260-47074527$ | UBA1/UBE1X (ENSMODG00000010677) | X:71766253-71772564 |
| TSPYL2/TSPX (ENSG00000184205) | X:53111549-53117722 | No homologues | - |
| KDM5C/SMCX (ENSG00000126012) | X:53221334-53254604 | ENSMODG00000009765 (ENSMODG00000009765) | X:72253803-72268150 |
| RPS4X (ENSG00000198034) | X:71475529-71497150 | RPS4X ( AF051136) | X:72,644,966-72,647,168 |
| XKRX (ENSG00000182489) | X:100168431-100184422 | XKRX (ENSMODG00000011758) | X:79079670-79091457 |
| ATRX (ENSG00000085224) | $\mathrm{X}: 76760359-77041719$ | ATRX (ENSMODG00000003920) | X:55899689-56064095 |
| RBMX (ENSG00000147274) | X:135951351-135962884 | LOC100018457(XM_001367159) | X:43,223,530-43,229,287 |
| SOX3 (ENSG00000134595) | X:139585152-139587225 | SOX3 (ENSMODG00000013932) | X:46390512-46391381 |
| HSFX1 (ENSG00000171116) | X:148855726-148858525 | LOC100025313/HSFX (NC_008809.1) | X:39012085-39014573 |
| HSFX2 (ENSG00000171129) | X:148674172-148676974 |  |  |

Table 3.1
GENEBANK and Ensenble accession number of nucleotide sequences used in this study.

| Gene | Eutherians |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | humans (Hosa) | mice (Mumu) | cats (Feca) | dogs (Cafa) | cows (Bota) | marmosets (Caja) |
| UBE1X | NM_003334 | NM_009457 | EU879978 | ENSCAFG000000149 | XM_001520965 | - |
|  |  | NC_000086.6: 20235547-20260305 |  |  |  |  |
| UBE1Y | - | AF150963 | DQ329521 | - | FJ959389 | - |
|  |  | NC_000087.6: 155156-180667 |  |  |  |  |
| SMCX | NM_001146702 | AF127245.1 | EU879976 | NM_001048032 | XM_002700121 | - |
|  | NC_000023.10: 53220503-53254604 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMCY | NM_004653 | AF127244.1 | EU879977 | NM_001113458/DQ156494.1 | - | - |
|  | NC_000024.9: 21867301-21906825 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RPS4X | NM_001007 | NM_009094 | EU879986.1 | XM_537399 | NM_001035445 | XM_002762988 |
| RPS4Y | RPS4Y1 (XM_001510756) | - | - | - | - | FJ527003 |
|  | RPS4Y2 (ENSG00000157828) |  |  |  |  |  |
| XKRX | NT_086915: 238884-240414 | NM_183319.2 | ENSFCAG00000007003 | ENSCAFG00000017579 | XM_002699816.1 | - |
| XKRY | XKRY1 (NT_011875: 6081676-6083256) | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | XKRY2 (NT_011875: 6448145-6449725) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY3 (NT_011875: 6820619-6822204) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY4 (NT_011875: 7123594-7125179) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY5 (NT_011903: 1910506-1912104) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY6 (NT_011903: 2111515-2113110) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY7 (NT_011903: 3946439-3948034) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | XKRY8 (NT_011903: 4147409-4149006) |  |  |  |  |  |
| RBMX | NM_002139 | NM_001166623 | - | XM_861341 | NM_001172039.1 | - |
| RBMY | RBMY1A1/C (NM_005058) | NM_011253 | - | - | GU304599.1 | - |
|  | RBMYB (NM_001006121) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RBMYD (NM_001006120) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RBMYE (NM_001006118) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RBMYF/J (NM_152585) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RBMYH (NM_005404) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOX3 | NM005634 | NM_009237 | - | XM_549298.2 | - | - |
| SRY | NM003140 | NM_0115664 | NM_001009240 | AF107021.1 | NM_001014385 | - |
| HSFX | HSFX1 (NM_016153) | - | ENSFCAG00000003179 | XM_549326.2 | XR_084125.1 | - |
|  | HSFX2 (NM_001164415) |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSFY | HSFY1 (NM_033108) | NM_027661.2 (chr 1) | NM_001040123 | - | gil297469658:136-1389 | - |
|  | HSFY2 (NM_153716) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATRX | NM_138270.2 | NM_009530.2 | - | XM_538084.2 | XM_002699982.1 | - |
| ATRY | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TSPX | NM_022117 | NM_029836 | ENSFCAG00000008997 | gi\|74007443:120-2258 | gi\|297469968:115-2256 | - |
| TSPY | TSPY1 (NT_011878: 13242-16035) | NC_000087.6: 392207..395311 | DQ329519.1 | - | XM_001250467.2 | - |
|  | TSPY2 (NT_011878: 415676-416022) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY3 (NT_011896: 4905332-4908114) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY4 (NT_086998: 210118-212931) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY5 (NT_086998: 230451-233246) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY6 (NT_086998: 250730-253524) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY7 (NT_086998: 271075-273870) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY8 (NT_011878: 33554-36367) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY9 (NT_011878: 53837-56649) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY10 (NT_011878: 74167-76962) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY11 (NT_011878: 94349-97159) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY12 (NT_011878: 451744-454722) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY13 (NT_011878: 612690-615483) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TSPY14 9733558) (NT_011875: $9730806-$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.1
GENEBANK and Ensenble accession number of nucleotide sequences used in this study.

|  |  | Marsupials |  |  | Orthologous genes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| horses (Eqca) | elefants (Loaf) | opossums (Modo) | wallabies (Maeu) | stripe-faced dunnarts (Smma) | platypuses | chickens |
| - | - | XM_001363136 | - | - | XM_001520965 | XM420609 |
| - | - | GQ253467 | - | - |  |  |
| - | ENSLAFG00000000303 | ENSMODG00000009765 | - | - | XM_001506932 | - |
| - | - | XM_001364144 | - | - |  |  |
| - | - | AF051136 | ENSMEUG00000007969 | - | XM_001510756 | NM_205108 |
| - | - | AF051137 | - | - |  |  |
| - | - | ENSMODG00000011758 | ENSMEUG00000010773 | - | - | XM_001234325.1 |
| - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| - | - | NM_001032987 | AF034741.1 | - | XM_001510739.1 | EU477531.1 |
| - | - | GU304607 | U79565 | - |  |  |
| - | ENSLAFG00000030155 | XM_001367288 | - | S69429 | - | NM_204195 |
| NM_001081810 | AF180946.1 | AC239615; 61429-62068 | Foster et al. 1992 | S46279 |  |  |
| ENSECAG00000000093 | - | GQ253469.1 | - | - | XM_001512596.1 | - |
| - | - | GQ253474 | - | - |  |  |
| - | ENSLAFG00000020570 | AY445510 | gi\|46487452:58-7452 | - | ENSOANG00000002389 | - |
| - | - | GU304601 | gi\|71277006:378-5693 | - |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |  |  |

## Table 3.2

The extent of nucleotide divergence per synonymous site $\left(K_{s}\right)$ values $\pm$ standard error of seven gametologs in eutherians and marsupials.

|  | EUTHERIANS |  |  |  |  | MARSUPIALS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | humans | mice | cats | dogs | cows | opossums | wallabies |
| UBE1X/Y | NY | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.77 \pm 0.030 \\ (0.48 \pm 0.024) \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0.57 \pm 0.026 \\ & (0.40 \pm 0.022) \end{aligned}\right.$ | NY | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0.57 \pm 0.067 \\ & (0.40 \pm 0.056) \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \pm 0.044 \\ & (0.65 \pm 0.029) \end{aligned}$ | NX, NY |
| $S M C X / Y$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \pm 0.22 \\ & (0.41 \pm 0.018) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \pm 0.029 \\ & (0.55 \pm 0.021) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.57 \pm 0.021 \\ (0.40 \pm 0.018) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \pm 0.023 \\ & (0.44 \pm 0.019) \end{aligned}$ | NY | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05 \pm 0.042 \\ & (0.70 \pm 0.024) \end{aligned}$ | NY |
| RPS4X/Y | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.98 \pm 0.070 \\ (0.55 \pm 0.052) \end{array}\right.$ | NY | $\begin{aligned} & 1.42 \pm 0.11 \\ & (0.64 \pm 0.073) \end{aligned}$ | NY | NY | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.99 \pm 0.070 \\ (0.55 \pm 0.052) \end{array}\right.$ | NY |
| $\underline{X K R X / Y}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \pm 0.13 \\ & (0.64 \pm 0.088) \end{aligned}$ | NY | NY | NY | NY | NY | NY |
| $\underline{R B M X / Y}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.82 \pm 0.051 \\ & (0.50 \pm 0.040) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \pm 0.84 \\ & (0.56 \pm 0.062) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NX, NY | NY | $\begin{aligned} & 0.82 \pm 0.058 \\ & (0.50 \pm 0.045) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.67 \pm 0.046 \\ (0.45 \pm 0.037) \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \pm 0.044 \\ & (0.42 \pm 0.037) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| SOX3/SRY | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \pm 0.093 \\ & (0.63 \pm 0.063) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.93 \pm 0.066 \\ (0.53 \pm 0.050) \end{array}\right.$ | NX | $\begin{aligned} & 1.14 \pm 0.085 \\ & (0.59 \pm 0.061) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NX | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30 \pm 0.11 \\ & (0.72 \pm 0.062) \end{aligned}$ | NX |
| HSFX/Y | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 2.32 \pm 0.14 \\ (0.72 \pm 0.076) \end{array}\right.$ | NX, NY | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2.80 \pm 0.12 \\ & (0.73 \pm 0.060) \end{aligned}\right.$ | NY | $\begin{aligned} & 2.22 \pm 0.10 \\ & (0.71 \pm 0.059) \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 0.82 \pm 0.043 \\ (0.50 \pm 0.056) \end{array}\right.$ | NY |

$K_{S}$ values were estimated using the modified Nei-Gojobori method with corrections by Jukes-Cantor model. The standard error was calculated from the maximum variance (Takahata and Tajima 1991). Values in parentheses were estimates of $P_{S}$ and its standard error. NY or NX means that the gametolog was not available on the Y or X chromosome respectively. In humans, the following genes possess multiple copies (number of copies); HSFX (2), HSFY (2), RBMY (7), $X K R Y(8), R P S 4 Y(2)$ and $T S P Y(14)$. In genes with multiple copies, the average value was estimated for all X-Y pairs.

## Table 3.3

The phylogenetic informative sites at the second position of the codon.

|  | A | B | C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H S F X / Y$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $S O X 3 / S R Y$ | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| $R B M X / Y$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| $R P S 4 X / Y$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| $S M C X / Y a$ | 11 | 3 | 0 |
| $S M C X / Y b$ | 8 | 30 | 7 |
| $U B E X / Y$ | 5 | 21 | 3 |

The number of sites to support each topology of Fig. 3.3 was shown. A, B or C means topology A, B or C in Fig. 3.3.

Table 3.4
The $K_{s}$ and $P_{s}$ of $S M C X / Y a$ and $b$.

|  | SMCXYa | SMCXYb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| human | $0.88 \pm 0.050$ | $0.50 \pm 0.024$ |
|  | $(0.52 \pm 0.039)$ | $(0.37 \pm 0.020)$ |
| mouse | $1.30 \pm 0.061$ | $0.89 \pm 0.032$ |
|  | $(0.62 \pm 0.042)$ | $(0.52 \pm 0.025)$ |
| dog | $0.81 \pm 0.048$ | $0.62 \pm 0.026$ |
|  | $(0.50 \pm 0.038)$ | $(0.42 \pm 0.022)$ |
| cat | $0.69 \pm 0.044$ | $0.52 \pm 0.024$ |
|  | $(0.45 \pm 0.036)$ | $(0.38 \pm 0.021)$ |
| opossum | $2.37 \pm 0.087$ | $1.95 \pm 0.047$ |
|  | $(0.72 \pm 0.048)$ | $(0.69 \pm 0.28)$ |

In humans, mice, dogs, cats and opossums $K_{S}$ values of $S M C X / Y a$ and $b$ were estimated using the same method used in table 3.2. The value in parentheses represents $P_{s}$.

Table 3.5
The synonymous/nonsynonymous ratio of seven gametologs in eutherians and marsupials.

UBE1XYY
SMCXY
RPS4XYY
XKRXY
RBMXY
SOX3/SRY HSFXYY

| EUTHERIANS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X Y}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| $0.17 \pm 0.035$ | $0.074 \pm 0.014$ | $0.094 \pm 0.021$ |
| $0.21 \pm 0.027$ | $0.089 \pm 0.080$ | $0.12 \pm 0.060$ |
| $0.055 \pm 0.0055$ | $0.0013 \pm 0.006$ | $0.054 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.57 \pm 0.013$ | 0.22 | 0.35 |
| $0.34 \pm 0.062$ | $0.027 \pm 0.012$ | $0.31 \pm 0.074$ |
| $0.67 \pm 0.053$ | $0.16 \pm 0.041$ | $0.50 \pm 0.012$ |
| $0.76 \pm 0.082$ | $0.57 \pm 0.048$ | $0.20 \pm 0.13$ |


| MARSUPIALS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{X Y}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | outgroups |
| 0.069 | 0.062 | 0.007 | $0.34 \pm 0.057$ |
| 0.58 | 0.15 | 0.43 | $0.095 \pm 0.060$ |
| 0.02 | 0.013 | 0.0068 | $0.033 \pm 0.0057$ |
| N.A. | N.A. | N.A. | 0.36 |
| $0.13 \pm 0.099$ | $0.033 \pm 0.015$ | $0.097 \pm 0.015$ | $0.014 \pm 0.014$ |
| $0.40 \pm 0.031$ | $0.16 \pm 0.18$ | $0.25 \pm 0.18$ | $0.14 \pm 0.035$ |
| 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.22 | $0.27 \pm 0.12$ |

A column of $X Y$ indicates the $K_{A} / K_{S}$ ratio in a comparison between gametologs. A column of $X$ or $Y$ indicates the ratio on the branch leading to $X$ or $Y$ gametologs. Outgroups indicate the ratio of outgoups in a phylogeny. The ratio of eutherians was calculated using the mouse, cat, cow UBE1X/Y; human, mouse, cat, dog SMCX/Y; human, marmoset RPS4X/Y; human $X K R X / Y$; human, mouse, cow $R B M X / Y$; human, dogs $S O X 3 / S R Y$; human, cat, dog, cow $H S F X / Y$. The ratio of marsupials was calculated using the opossum $U B E 1 X / Y, S M C X / Y$, $R P S 4 X / Y$ and $H S F X / Y$, opossum and wallaby $R B M X / Y$, opossum and stripe-faced dunnart $S O X 3 / S R Y$. The ratio of outgroups was calculated using platypuses in UBE1X/Y, SMCX/Y, $R P S 4 X / Y, R B M X / Y$ and $H S F X / Y$ or chickens in $X K R X / Y$ and $S O X 3 / S R Y$.

Table 3.6
The $K_{S}$ of marsupial $A T R X / Y$ genes.

|  | EUTHERIANS |  |  |  |  | MARSUPIALS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | humans | mice | cats | dogs | cows | opossums | wallabies |
| ATRX/Y |  |  |  |  |  | $1.12 \pm 0.082$ | $0.94 \pm 0.027$ |
|  | NY | NY | NX, NY | NY | NY | $(0.58 \pm 0.059)$ | $(0.54 \pm 0.020)$ |

$K_{s}$ values of genes were estimated using the same method used in table 3.3. The value in parentheses represents $P_{S}$.

## Chapter 4

## Comparison of intrachromosomal segmental duplications of sex chromosomes

### 4.1 Abstract

Segmental duplication is a powerful mechanism that alters chromosome and genome structure. We focused on intrachromosomal segmental duplications (ISDs), which produce tandem and/or inverted repeats ( $>50 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) in neighboring regions on the human chromosomes. Our surveys of the human genome revealed that the human X chromosome possesses the largest number of ISDs among the 24 chromosomes, including the Y chromosome. To understand the evolution of ISDs on sex chromosomes, I compared the regions with ISDs among platypuses, opossums, mice, and humans. This comparison revealed that the number and/or size of ISDs differed among species. In particular, the ISDs on human and mouse X chromosomes were more complex than those on the opossum X chromosome. In the opossum, regions of the X chromosome containing ISDs are gene-poor; in contrast, gene density and the number of different gene families are higher in ISDs-containing regions of human and mouse X chromosomes than those of the opossum X chromosome. Furthermore, the platypus X chromosomes had only one ISD, even though the platypus has five X chromosomes. Taken together, these observations indicated that ISDs accumulated on the X
chromosome in the therian ancestor. In the eutherian lineage, the amplification and complexity of ISDs on the X chromosome may have promoted the evolution of multigene families, such as the cancer testis antigens (CTAs).

### 4.2 Introduction

Intrachromosomal segmental duplications (ISDs) are generally relatively large duplications, ranging from 1 kb to $>200 \mathrm{~kb}$ (The International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium 2001; Bailey et al. 2001), which duplicate segments often persist in close proximity to each other. Alteration of genomic structure (e.g., deletions, inversions, duplications, and insertions) often plays a fundamental role in genetic disease and gene evolution (Emanuel and Shaikh 2001 and reviewed in Samonte and Eichler 2002). Comparisons of the human, chimpanzee, and gorilla genomes demonstrate that genomic structure changed quickly and in species-specific ways (Newman et al. 2005; Venture et al. 2011).

ISDs are not evenly or randomly distributed throughout individual organism genomes. For example, the human and chimpanzee Y chromosome is rich in palindromes (Skaletsky et al. 2003, Kuroda-Kawaguchi et al. 2001, Bohwmick et al. 2007, Hughes et al. 2010). These Y chromosomal palindromes are much longer, ranging from 100 kb to $\sim 3 \mathrm{Mb}$, than the few known palindromes on autosomes, which are less than 1 kb in length (Gotter et al. 2007). Genes in palindromes are often subject
to gene conversion, and this conversion plays an important role in maintaining homogeneity among the members of multigene families that reside in these regions (Bohwmick et al. 2007). However, rearrangements between palindromic structures may occasionally cause Y chromosome anomalies that can result in failure of spermatogenesis (Lange et al. 2009).

Mammalian X chromosomes are less diverse than mammalian Y chromosomes (Murphy et al. 1999). The genes within ISDs on X chromosomes are generally members of multigene families, and these genes are expressed mainly in testis cells, such as spermatogonia (Wang et al. 2001), as is the case for many genes within gene families on the Y chromosome. These testis-expressed and X-linked genes are testis microRNAs, testis-specific histone (H2A. Bbd), and cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) and these genes were subject to rapid evolution (Simpson et al. 2005; Guo et al. 2009; Caballero and Chen 2009; Ishibashi et al. 2010).

Here we counted the number of ISDs $>50 \mathrm{~kb}$ on each human chromosome using dot-matrix analyses. The number of ISDs in the entire human genome is 310 and the number on the X chromosome is 41 . Furthermore, the number on the X chromosome is larger than any of the autosomes and Y chromosomes. Multigene families, such as CTAs, were generally located within ISDs that included inverted repeats (IRs) (Warburton et al. 2004). The fast rate of evolution of CTAs may have resulted from rapid change of ISDs, but this causation is not well established because the relationship between the evolution of CTAs and the evolution of ISDs has been examined in only a few cases (Katsura and Satta 2011). Moreover, comparative
genomic analyses of X -linked ISDs have not been performed using data from phylogenetically diverged mammals.

CTAs are highly expressed in a wide range of cancer cells (i.e. in humans) essential to cancer immune systems, and potential targets for cancer immunotherapy (Caballero and Chen 2009). It is not fully understood how CTAs became distributed throughout the entire human genome. Of 136 CTAs listed (Almeida et al. 2009; CT database of July 2010), 36 are located on the X chromosome, and these X -linked CTAs are called CT-X antigens or $C T-X$. There are several $C T A$ subfamilies: the G antigen (GAGE) family, the Sarcoma antigen (SAGE) family, and the melanoma antigen (MAGE) family among others. Some CTAs are of recent origin had a fast rate of evolution. GAGE genes diverged in the primate lineages (Gjerstorff and Ditzel 2008; Liu, Q. Zhu and N. Zhu 2008; Killen et al. 2011), and MAGE type I family is eutherian-specific (Katsura and Satta 2011). However the origin of most CTAs was not revealed.

In this chapter, to understand the apparent correlation between ISDs and $C T-X$ multigene family, I assessed the distribution of ISDs and gene content of the ISDs on the X chromosomes of non-human mammals, such as platypuses, opossums, and mice. In addition, I investigated how many $C T-X$ are located on the ISD and how the ISD and $C T-X$ was formed in mammalian evolution.

### 4.3 Materials and methods

### 4.3.1 Sequences used

Human genome data (build 36 and 37.2), mouse genome data (build 37.2; X chromosome), opossum genome data (MonDom5; X chromosome), and platypus genome data (build 1.1; X1, 2, 3 and 5 chromosomes) and nucleotide sequence data and corresponding gene information were obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Among the five platypus X chromosomes, nucleotide sequences from the X 4 chromosomes were not available yet, and $\mathrm{X} 1, \mathrm{X} 2, \mathrm{X} 3$ and X 5 chromosomes were used in this study.

### 4.3.2 Identification of ISDs

I conducted a dot-matrix analysis using Dotter (Sonnhammer and Durbin 1995). The genomic sequence was divided into $1-\mathrm{Mb}$ regions, and each region was compared with itself in the analyses.

### 4.3.3 Homology search and data analyses

I searched the CT database (http://www.cta.lncc.br/) to gather all of human CTAs and the peptide database in a Journal of the Academy of Cancer Immunology (http://www.cancerimmunity.org/peptidedatabase/Tcellepitopes.htm) for CTA peptide
sequences. To find orthologs of human CTAs in non-human mammals, I performed BLAST searches of the transcriptional and genomic databases, using human CTAs sequences as query and identified orthologs if the sequences have more than $70 \%$ similarity with human queries.

### 4.4 Results

### 4.4.1 ISDs on X chromosomes in four species

First, all ISDs ( $>50 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) on the X chromosomes in humans, mice, and opossum and those in the platypus genome were identified. The distributions and sizes of these ISDs are shown in Tables 4.1-4. The numbers of X-linked ISDs differed substantially among species; there were 41 in human, 31 in mouse, and 19 in opossum (Tables 4.1-4). Surprisingly, only one X-linked ISDs was found in platypus. The regions exhibiting ISDs occupied $7.1 \%$ of the human, $14.7 \%$ of the mouse, $4.0 \%$ of the opossum, $0.5 \%$ of the platypus X chromosome. The mean lengths of the ISDs within each of three species (human, mouse, and opossum) ranged from 167 to 768 kb , and the medians in theses species ranged from 103 to 211 kb (Tables 4.1-3).

Here, the ISDs were categorized as palindromes ( P ), tandem repeats $(\mathrm{T})$, short repeats (S), or as combinations, PT, PS, or TS. Repeats of relatively short regions ( $\sim 100$ bp ) were categorized as S . The structures in the human and mouse were more
complicated than those in the opossum. In humans and mice, PT was the most frequent ( $42 \%$ in humans, $42 \%$ in mice) type of ISDs, P was the second most common type ( $37 \%$ in humans, $26 \%$ in mice), and T was less common ( $20 \%$ in humans, $16 \%$ in mice) and S was the least common ( $5 \%$ in humans, $13 \%$ in mice) (Table 4.1-2). In contrast, in opossums few ISDs (11\%) were classified as P or T , and most were classified as S (68\%) (Table 4.3).

The gene density (the number of genes per 10 kb ) in the region exhibiting ISDs in the human (0.25) and mouse (0.33) was more than twice the average gene density on the entire X chromosome ( 0.11 in human or 0.12 in mouse) (Table 4.1-2). In the opossum, the average gene density in X-linked ISDs (0.04) was less than the average of the entire chromosome (0.07) (Table 4.3). Among the 239 genes in ISD regions of the human X chromosome, 130 (54\%), 82 (34\%), and 27 (11\%) are protein-coding genes, pseudogenes, and non-coding RNA genes, respectively (Table 4.1). In mice, 453 genes were in X -linked ISDs; 224 of which were protein-coding (49\%), 221 were pseudogenes (49\%), and 8 were non-coding genes (2\%) (Table 4.2). In the opossum, only 12 genes were located in X-linked ISDs; 6 of which were protein-coding genes ( $50 \%$ ), 4 were pseudogenes ( $33 \%$ ), and 2 were non-coding genes (17\%) (Table 4.3). In the platypus, the gene density in the ISDs was relatively high ( $24 \%$ ) compared to the rest of X chromosome (5\%), and 4 protein-coding and 2 pseudogenes were found (Table 4.4).

### 4.3.2 Distribution of $\boldsymbol{C T}-\boldsymbol{X}$ in human genomes

To assess the biological significance of ISDs on X chromosomes, the gene content in these X-linked ISDs was searched (Tables 4.1-3). In the human genome, most of genes present in regions of ISDs were CT-X genes ( $\sim 70 \%$ ). In the mouse genome, $\sim 10 \%$ of the genes in ISDs were $C T-X$ genes, but there is no $C T-X$ in the opossum and platypus. In humans, the distribution of $C T-X$ genes is shown in Fig. 4.1; of $35 C T-X$ genes, $16(46 \%)$ were located in ISDs on the X chromosome.

### 4.3.3 Homolog search of $\boldsymbol{C T}-\boldsymbol{X}$

I also investigated the origin of the $C T-X$ genes on the ISDs by comparing sequences of 16 human $C T-X$ genes with genomes from chicken, platypus, opossum, cow, macaque, and chimpanzee. Ten CT-X antigens (GAGE, CTAG, MAGE-C1, -C2, SPANX, CSAGE, CTAG, PHOXF2, CT47, and CT45) were found on only macaque and on the chimpanzee X chromosomes, and six $C T-X$ were found on only cow, macaque, and chimpanzee X chromosomes (MAGE-A, XAGE, SSX, NXF2, Cxorf6, and CXorf48).

### 4.5 Discussion

4.5.1 Evolution of genome structures on sex chromosomes

The ISDs on X chromosomes differed among three group of mammals; the X-linked ISDs are larger, more frequently observed, and more structurally complex than those in either marsupials or monotremes. These observations were consistent with results obtained by comparing the entire opossum and human genomes; the marsupial genome had fewer ISDs than the human genome (Mikkelsen et al. 2007). Moreover, the gene density in ISDs was substantially higher in the eutherians than in the marsupial.

Repetitive sequences are known to mediate genomic rearrangements and to be involved in the creation of duplications. However, in this analysis, the complexity (the number and size) of ISDs in a genome does not correlate with the number of repetitive sequences in that genome. For each species, the number of repetitive sequences was counted within the ISDs, and the repeat density within ISDs was compatible to the average repeat density along the entire X chromosome (Table 4.1-4). In mice, the density of repetitive sequences in the ISDs was more than twice of the average density estimated for the entire X chromosome. In other mammals, the density of repetitive sequences did not differ between ISDs and other region of the X chromosomes.

In eutherians, the number of ISDs on X chromosomes was larger in humans than in mice, but the ISDs in mice were much more gene-rich and longer in size than those in humans. In mice genes, such as Xlr, Rhox and Xmr, that are members of multigene families were located in ISDs (Table 4.2). In addition, pseudogenes were more frequent in mice than in humans. In mice, the large number of repetitive sequences in the ISDs might have actively enhanced the emergence and loss of multigene families.

### 4.5.2 Recent origin and rapid evolution of CT-X antigens and genomic structures

The gene content in the ISDs seemed to be species or lineage-specific, but some CT-X genes such as $S S X$ and $M A G E$ were found in ISDs in humans and mice. The mouse and human ISDs that contained orthologous genes might have emerged independently in these two species because the ISDs did not show synteny or similarity other than the CT-X orthologs. The phylogenetic analysis of the MAGE gene family revealed that $M A G E-A$ have evolved in rodent lineages and primate lineages independently (see chapter 5; Katsura and Satta 2011).

The origin of human $C T-X$ genes seemed, in general, to be recent, and most of those present in ISDs originated in the ancestor of primates or eutherians. After the divergence of marsupials and eutherians, moreover, complicated ISDs emerged in eutherians. The timing of amplification of $C T-X$ genes was estimated to coincide with the timing of the accumulation of complicated ISDs.

The peptides derived from $C T-X$ are often recognized by T-cell receptors as tumor antigens, and some $C T-X$ play a role in spermatogonia. $C T-X$ is expressed in highly proliferative cells. The duplicated copy of $C T-X$ on the ISDs may compensate for high expression level. The number or complexity of ISDs and the number of $C T-X$ might increase synergistically.

### 4.5.3 Mystery in the platypus $X$ chromosome

Interestingly, the X chromosomes in platypus contain only one PT ISD ( $\sim 250$ $\mathrm{kb})$. The origin of X chromosomes in monotremes is different from that in marsupials and eutherians; the marsupial and eutherian X chromosomes show synteny with chromosome 6 of platypus. Thus, platypus chromosome 6 was assessed, but no ISD was found on this chromosome too.

The platypus is unique and the platypus X chromosomes do not accumulate tandem or inverted repeats. The reason for the dearth of ISDs on platypus X chromosome is not known. If the platypus sex chromosome emerged recently there may have only been time for a small number of ISDs to accumulate on these chromosomes. The divergence time of platypus sex chromosomes has not been reliably estimated because X and Y gametologs have not been identified. Alternatively, the dearth of X-linked ISDs in platypus may be explained by the hypothesis that ISDs are deleterious in platypus. Given the overall dearth of ISDs in the platypus genome, this last hypothesis is plausible.

### 4.6 Conclusion and perspectives

The evolution of ISDs on sex chromosomes was rapid and species or lineage-specific. The dearth of X-linked ISDs in platypus is not consistent with the previous hypothesis that sex chromosomes accumulate ISDs. The genomes of many species must be
investigated to understand whether sex chromosomes accumulate ISDs in general or not. To address the question of why ISDs in eutherians were apparently more complicated than those in marsupials, the evolution of genes within the ISDs will be analyzed.
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### 4.8 Figure legend

Figure 4.1 The distribution of $C T-X$ antigens on and the ISDs of the human $X$ chromosome.

Yellow, red, blue, and green lines indicate palindrome and tandem repeats (PT), palindrome (P), tandem repeats (T), and short repeats (S), respectively. CT-X genes and the respective copy number are indicated beside the X chromosome.


Figure 4.1
The distribution of CT-X antigens on and the ISDs of the human $X$ chromosome.

Table 4.1
The list of genomic structures in the human X chromosomes.


Table 4.2
The list of genomic structures in the mouse X chromosomes.


| 29 X | musX. 148 | 148850000 | 148950000 | 100000 | 2 | 0.20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | N | 1.00 | 125 | 12.50 | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 X | musX. 151 | 151250000 | 151550000 | 300000 | 8 | 0.27 Mega1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.88 | 312 | 659.00 | T |
| 31 X | musX. 166 | 166420000 | 167000000 | 580000 | 4 | 0.07 Mid1, miscRNA | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 659 | 11.36 | s |
|  | total |  |  | 24583489 | 453 |  | 221 | 224 | 8 | 12.80 | 15.87 | 32328 | 1230.07 |  |
|  | avrg |  |  | 768234 | 14 | 0.33 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 1010 | 38.44 |  |
|  | stdv |  |  | 1733273 | 19 | 0.29 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 1935 | 115.52 |  |
|  | median |  |  | 194500 | 6 | 0.26 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 277 | 14.20 |  |
|  | min |  |  | 50000 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 28 | 3.50 |  |
|  | max |  |  | 9370000 | 91 | 1.38 | 40 | 44 | 7 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 9359 | 659.00 |  |
| x | avrg |  |  | 167000000 | 2025 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  | 264306 | 15.83 |  |

Table 4.3
The list of genomic structures in the opossum $X$ chromosomes.


Table 4.4
The list of genomic structures in the platypus $X$ chromosomes.

| \# | chr |  | start | end | length | Gene number | Gene number/10kb |  | Gene content | The number of pseudogene | The number of protein-coding gene |  | pseudogene number/gene number | protein-coding gene number/gene number | repetitive sequences number | repetitive sequences number/10kb | pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \mathrm{X1}$ | ch1-1_2 | 2350000 | 2600000 | 250000 | 6 |  |  | xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase/oxidoreductase, cysteine-rich secretory protein 3 | 2 |  | 4 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 430 | 17.20 | PT |
| avrg | X1 |  |  |  | 46000000 | 299 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86604 | 18.83 |  |
| avrg | x2 |  |  |  | 5,700,000 | 18 |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11660 | 20.46 |  |
| avrg | x3 |  |  |  | 6000000 | 29 |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11279 | 18.80 |  |
| avrg | x5 |  |  |  | 28000000 | 154 |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45903 | 16.39 |  |
| avrg | 6 |  |  |  | 163000000 | 110 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31388 | 1.93 |  |
| avrg | 1 |  |  |  | 48000000 | 270 |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97859 | 20.39 |  |
| avrg | 2 |  |  |  | 55000000 | 366 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114506 | 20.82 |  |
| avrg | 3 |  |  |  | 60000000 | 345 |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116498 | 19.42 |  |
| avrg | 4 |  |  |  | 59000000 | 371 |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120359 | 20.40 |  |
| avrg | 5 |  |  |  | 24600000 | 161 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49509 | 20.13 |  |
| avrg | 7 |  |  |  | 40000000 | 340 |  | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78378 | 19.59 |  |
| avrg | 10 |  |  |  | 11200000 | 110 |  | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21479 | 19.18 |  |
| avrg | 11 |  |  |  | 6800000 | 89 |  | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12130 | 17.84 |  |
| avrg | 12 |  |  |  | 15900000 | 110 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32385 | 20.37 |  |
| avrg | 14 |  |  |  | 2700000 | 29 |  | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5141 | 19.04 |  |
| avrg | 15 |  |  |  | 3800000 | 40 |  | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8267 | 21.76 |  |
| avrg | 17 |  |  |  | 1400000 | 26 |  | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2224 | 15.89 |  |
| avrg | 18 |  |  |  | 6600000 | 52 |  | 0.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12629 | 19.13 |  |
| avrg | 20 |  |  |  | 1820000 | 13 |  | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3769 | 20.71 |  |
| total | x |  |  |  | 85700000 | 500 |  | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 155446 | 18.14 |  |

## Chapter 5

## Evolutionary History of the Cancer Immunity Antigen MAGE Gene Family

### 5.1 Abstract

The evolutionary mode of a multi-gene family can change over time, depending on the functional differentiation and local genomic environment of family members. In this study, we demonstrate that the evolution of the melanoma antigen (MAGE) gene family on the mammalian X chromosome was affected by both functional differentiation of duplicate genes and local genomic events, including palindrome formation. There are two gene types in the MAGE family; type I genes are of relatively recent origin, and they are expressed in cancer cell and encode epitopes that bind human leukocyte antigen (HLA). Type II genes are more ancient, and some are involved in apoptosis or cell proliferation. The evolutionary history of the $M A G E$ gene family can be divided into four phases. In phase I, a single-copy ancestral $M A G E$ gene was evolutionarily conserved; this phase lasted until the emergence of eutherian mammals. In phase II, a multi-gene family of 10 processed members was formed via RNA-mediated gene duplication (retrotransposition) of an ancestral gene, MAGE-D, and emergence of this family coincided with a transposition burst of long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) elements at the eutherian radiation. Phase III was characterized by DNA-mediated gene duplication. The formation of palindromes in the MAGE-A subfamily occurred in an ancestor of the Catarrhini. Phase IV was characterized by the decay of a palindrome in most non-human Catarrhini. Although the palindrome was truncated by
frequent deletions in apes and Old World monkeys, it was retained in humans. Here, we argue that this human-specific retention stems from negative selection acting on $M A G E-A$ genes that encode cancer cells epitopes that bind to highly divergent HLA molecules.

### 5.2 Introduction

The evolution of any clustered multi-gene family is affected by functional divergence of duplicated member genes and the local structure of the genome (Nei, Gu, and Sitnikova 1997; Nei and Rooney 2005). Here, local structure of the genome refers to tandem or inverted repeats (IRs). Evolution of a gene family on IRs, in particular, can be complex because these families are particularly subject to homogenization by frequent gene conversion and structural change due to instability.

Warburton et al. (2004) found a preponderance of large, highly homologous IRs on the X and Y chromosomes; $\sim 30 \%$ of IRs in the human genome are on the X and Y chromosomes. Many IRs on the X and Y contain genes expressed predominantly in the testis (Warburton et al. 2004). Warburton and his colleagues suggest that these IRs play an important role in human genome evolution. However, the precise role of IRs in evolution is still unclear. Therefore, in this study, we attempt to examine the tempo and mode of gene family evolution that are located in IRs. We focus on the melanoma antigen (MAGE) gene family because its members are located on a large $(\sim 100 \mathrm{~kb})$ palindrome on the human X chromosome.

MAGE homologous sequences have been found in vertebrate (Bischof, Ekker, Wevrick 2003; López-Sánchez et al. 2007, van der Bruggen et al. 1991, Kirkin, Dzhandzhugazyan, and Zeuthen. 1998, Castelli et al. 2000, Chomez et al. 2001) and an invertebrate fruit fies (Põld Põld et al. 2000). In the human genome, this family is composed of 10 subfamilies, $M A G E-A,-B,-C,-D,-E,-F,-H,-L 2, N D N, N D N L 2$, and each subfamily comprises one to 15 genes (Chomez et al. 2001). In addition to the classification by subfamily,

MAGE genes are also classified as type I or type II based on their expression patterns and function. Type I and II comprise three (MAGE-A, $-B$, and- $C$ ) and seven (MAGE-D, $-E,-F,-H$, $-L 2, N D N, N D N L 2$ ) subfamilies, respectively. Type II genes are ubiquitously expressed in somatic cells, and some Type II genes are involved in apoptosis or cell proliferation (Bertrand et al. 2004). Type I genes, on the other hand, are expressed in highly proliferating cells such as tumors, placenta and germ line cells (van der Bruggen et al. 1991).

All type I MAGE genes are located on the X chromosome and encode tumor antigens that play a key role in cancer immunity. Peptides in the MAGE homology domain (MHD), which is 160-170 amino acids long, are recognized by human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I molecules (van der Bruggen et al. 1991). When the antigen (MHD-peptide) on a tumor cell binds to a receptor on a killer T-cell, the T-cell attacks the tumor cell (van der Bruggen et al. 1991, Klein and Horejsí 1997). Although all type I MAGEs encode epitopes, $M A G E-A 3$ and -A6 are highly expressed in tumor cells and encode the highest number of identified epitopes (van der Bruggen et al. 2002). HLA is exceptionally polymorphic in the human genome and different $H L A$ alleles can bind different epitopes (Rammensee, Falk, and Rötzschke 1993; Lund et al. 2004). Each MAGE gene can encode several epitopes, and bind multiple HLA variants. For these reasons, it is of interest to trace the origin of the association between $H L A$ and $M A G E$ and to determine how the genetic diversity in the peptide-coding region referring to MHD has evolved and been maintained.

Many MAGE genes are reportedly mammalian-specific (Chomez et al. 2001). In addition, most $M A G E$ genes have a single exon except for $M A G E-D$ subfamily members which have 14 exons where an ORF is encoded between the second to 12th exon (Lucus, Brasseur and Boon 1999). Therefore, it has been thought that each subfamily was derived
from MAGE-D by RNA-mediated gene duplication (retrotransposition) (Chomez et al. 2001). Continuously, the members of subfamilies could amplify by the evolutionary process of retrotransposition and/or DNA-mediated gene duplication. Yet, the relationship between type I and type II genes has not been fully investigated, and the process of diversification of these genes remains unclear.

In this study, we investigate the evolutionary history of the $M A G E$ gene family. First, we identified the most anciently diverged $M A G E$ genes in vertebrate and invertebrate genomes. Second, we investigate how and when the ancestor of each subfamily emerged, and we focused on their mode of amplification. Third, we focused on the $M A G E-A$ subfamily (one of the type I subfamilies) and demonstrated that the gene arrangement in this subfamily changed rapidly. Finally, we show that some human MAGE- $A$ genes have been subject to negative selection that prevented homogenization by gene conversion and that maintained genetic variations among the MAGE-A amino acid sequences. We suggest that this selection is related to the maintenance of a variety of HLA binding sites in cancer cells.

### 5.3 Materials and Methods

### 5.3.1 Sequences used

Human (Homo sapience) nucleotide sequence data and corresponding gene information were obtained from the NCBI database (build 36.3; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Syntenic or homologous genomic sequences from other primates and mammals, including opossums (Monodelphis domestica) and platypuses (Ornithorhynchus anatinus), were retrieved from the NCBI and Ensembl databases (http://uswest.ensembl.org/index.html). To find syntenic regions, homology search using human $M A G E$ genes as queries were performed using the BLAST program to determine homologous regions in non-human primates and mammals.

### 5.3.2 Identification of genomic structures

Identification of IRs and tandem repeats was conducted using a dot-matrix approach (Sonnhammer and Durbin 1995). GenomeMatcher (Ohtsubo et al. 2008) was then used to obtain detailed information on nucleotide sequence similarity between duplicate units. A diagram drawn by this program depicts the extent of similarity between sequences using color codes, with red representing similarity greater than $95 \%$, orange representing approximately $90 \%-95 \%$, green representing approximately $85 \%-90 \%$, and blue representing lower than 85\%.

### 5.3.3 Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses

To study the phylogenetic relationships among MAGE family members, 158 coding sequences (CDSs) from human, chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes), macaque (Macaca mulatta), mouse (Mus musculus), cow (Bos taurus), dog (Canis lupus), opossum, platypus, and zebrafish (Danio rerio) genomes were retrieved from the NCBI database (Table 5.1). MAGE homologs were also sought in Ensembl database of sequences from the western African clawed frog (Xenopus tropicalis), lampreys (Petromyzon marinus), lancelets (Branchiostoma floridae), tunicates (Ciona intestinalis) and sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus). In the searches for $M A G E$ homologs, $M A G E-D$ genes were used as a query because $M A G E-D$ is thought to be most similar to the ancestral MAGE gene (Chomez et al. 2001). The retrieved sequences were also used in phylogenetic analyses.

In the human genome, there were 37 annotated $M A G E$ genes on the X chromosome: 15 MAGE-A, 11 MAGE-B, three MAGE-C, five MAGE-D, two MAGE-E, and one MAGE-H. In addition, MAGE-F is located on chromosome 3, and necdin-like 2 (NDNL2, also called MAGE-G), MAGE-like 2 (MAGE-L2), and necdin (NDN) are on chromosome 15. In addition to the annotated genes, a homologous sequence (psMAGEA-like: psMAGEAL, NC_000023: 2765558..2770471) corresponding to the human MAGE pseudogene, psMAGEA (NC_000023: complementary 151952946..151957859), was identified. Gene abbreviations used in this study follow the standards used for human genes.

The sequences obtained were aligned using Clustal W software (Thompson et al. 1997) and subsequent manual corrections. Sequences of human $M A G E-H,-A 5$, and mouse $-A 9$ were short, and they were discarded because inclusion of these sequences made meaningful sequence alignment shorter. The number of nucleotide differences per site
(p-distance) was then calculated using MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007), and a phylogeny was constructed with the neighbor-joining (NJ; Saitou and Nei 1987) method available in this software. Phylogenies were also constructed with Randomized A(x)ccelerated Maximum Likelihood (RAxML; Stamatakis et al. 2005) and Bayesian (Bayes) methods. The program used for the RAxML method was available on the internet at http://phylobench.vital-it.ch/raxml-bb/, and the program used for the Bayes method was MrBayes 3 (Ronquist et al. 2005). The alignments used here are available upon request from YS or YK. DnaSP v5 (Librado and Rozas 2009) was used for the window analysis of nucleotide divergence. RepeatMasker (Smit 1996) was used to screen sequences for interspersed repeats. For detection of gene conversion, a program, GENECONV (Sawyer, 1989) was used.

### 5.3.4 Transcription factor binding sites

Transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) were examined using the TRANSFAC R4.3 database (Heinemeyer et al. 1998), which is available on the TFBIND website (http://tfbind.ims.u-tokyo.a.c.jp/; Tsunoda and Takagi 1999). To find a candidate TFBS, upstream sequences of target genes were aligned; highly conserved sequences were pursed as potential TFBSs. The sequences were checked for the presence of TFBSs in the database.

### 5.4 Results

### 5.4.1 Origin of the vertebrate and mammalian $M A G E$ gene family

To identify MAGE orthologs in lampreys, lancelets, tunicates, and sea urchins, a BLAST search was performed using their genomic, cDNA and expressed sequence tag (EST) sequences as search substrate and human $M A G E-D$ genes as queries. No $M A G E$ homologs were indentified in lampreys or sea urchins, but hypothetical genes in both tunicates (XM_002119518) and lancelets (XM_002613563) showed $37 \%$ sequence similarity with the human MAGE-D1. The BLAST search indicated that an ancestral MAGE gene could have emerged before the divergence of Chordata.

The zebrafish genome possesses a single MAGE gene, Necdin-like 2 (DareNDNL2; Bischof, Ekker, and Wevrick, 2003). NDNL2 genes were found also in humans, mice and cows, but eutherian $N D N L 2 s$ were processed genes and have a single exon, but DareNDNL2 possessed $\sim 11$ exons. A phylogenetic tree based on predicted amino acid sequences showed that eutherian $N D N L 2$ s formed a cluster distinct from DareNDNL2 (Figs. 5.1 and 5.2); eutherian NDNL2s were not one-to-one orthologs to DareNDNL2. DareNDNL2 is "primary" ortholog to eutherian MAGE genes (Han and Hahn 2009). The topology of tree was supported by different three methods; NJ, RAxML and Bayes (data not shown).

The frog and chicken genomes each contained a single MAGE gene. In both cases, the synteny between the gene and DareNDNL2 could not be determined because not all genes have been assigned to a chromosome in these species. However, given that phases at each exon and intron in the coding regions were well conserved (Table 5.2), the single MAGE
genes in the frog and chicken were likely to be one-to-one orthologous to DareNDNL2.
In the fish, frog, and chicken, a $M A G E$ gene was single copy. Humans and mice, however, have multiple subfamilies of MAGE genes (Chomez et al. 2001). Thus, MAGE homologs was investigated in monotremes (platypus) and marsupials (opossum). A BLAST search of the platypus and opossum genomes using the human MAGE-D1 as a query detected one and two $M A G E$-like ( $M A G E L$ ) sequences, respectively. They were tentatively named OrnaMAGEL and ModoMAGEL1/L2, respectively. BLAST searches using other MAGE genes (e.g., DareNDNL2) as query also detected OrnaMAGEL and ModoMAGEL1/L2.

The opossum ModoMAGEL1 and ModoMAGEL2 genes were located on chromosomes X and 8, respectively. ModoMAGEL1 contained 11 exons, and ModoMAGEL2 contained only one exon; therefore, ModoMAGEL2 was likely to be a processed gene derived from ModoMAGEL1. In fact, ModoMAGEL1 and ModoMAGEL2 formed a monophyletic cluster in a tree (Fig. 5.1). This cluster was reiterated in trees constructed using three different methods (NJ, RAx ML, and Bayes).

In platypuses the OrnaMAGEL gene was located on the contig Ultra 403, and it contained 10 exons. Although the number of exons differed between OrnaMAGEL and ModoMAGEL1, the phases and sizes of shared exons were well conserved (Table 5.2). Ultra 403 contained the ubiquitin ligase gene HUWE1 (HECT, UBA and WWE domain containing 1), which was located $\sim 600 \mathrm{~kb}$ upstream from OrnaMAGEL. An in situ hybridization study confirmed that, in the platypus, HUWE1 is located on chromosome 6 (Delbridge et al. 2009); thus, it is likely that this contig is a part of chromosome 6. Platypus chromosome 6 is homologous to the autosomal ancestor of eutherian and marsupial X chromosomes (Delbridge et al. 2009). The region surrounding OrnaMAGEL on the contig showed a syntenic
relationship with the human Xp11 region. In the human genome, the corresponding position to OrnaMAGEL is occupied by MAGE-D2 and -D3 (Fig. 5.3). Human MAGE-D2 and -D3 possess 13 exons, and the phases and sizes of these exons were conserved with those of OrnaMAGEL, ModoMAGEL1, and the MAGE genes in the chicken, frog, and zebrafish genomes (Table 5.2).

### 5.4.2 Phylogeny of the mammalian $M A G E$ gene family

A tree of human MAGE genes showed that the three type I subfamilies formed a monophyletic cluster that was separate from type II subfamilies (Fig. 5.4). This inference was supported by the evidence from five phylogenetically informative substitutions (D16Y, K23T, I62V, A113E, R156Q in the alignment of MHD within MAGE, Fig. 5.5). In addition, the $M A G E-D$ subfamily also formed a monophyletic cluster. Based on the relatively low bootstrap probability at nodes of the subfamilies within type I or II, these type I or II subfamilies would have diverged from each other within short period of evolutionary time; however, the number of nucleotides used in this analysis was small (Figs. 5.2 and 5.4).

Since most $M A G E$ genes, other than $M A G E-D$ genes, have a single exon for CDS, they are likely processed genes derived from transcripts of MAGE-D or other MAGE-D processed genes (Chomez et al. 2001; Artamonova and Gelfand 2004). Alternatively, another ancestral gene may have produced the $M A G E-D$ clade and the single-exon genes. However, no such ancestor gene has been detected in any genome, suggesting that this scenario is highly unlikely.

To study how each gene family formed, representative nucleotide sequences of
subfamilies were compared with each other using dot-matrix analysis (Sonnhammer and Durbin 1995). If an entire coding region including flanking region was duplicated, the dot matrix analysis showed the similarity beyond the CDS. In contrast, if an ancestor of each subfamily was generated by retrotransposition, the analysis showed the similarity in the CDS only.

Except for comparisons between $M A G E-A$ and $M A G E-C$ sequences, analyses within and between the type I and II categories revealed similarities in CDS regions only. Comparison between $M A G E-A$ and $-C$ revealed similarities beyond the CDS. Therefore, the ancestral sequence of subfamilies was likely produced not by gene duplication, but by retrotransposition. $M A G E-A$ and $-C$ were exceptions. In total, eight retrotransposition events of MAGE sequences occurred in the ancestral genome, and each processed gene became the prototype of one subfamily. Following these retrotransposition events, DNA-mediated gene duplications took place resulting in independent amplification of each prototype and formation of each subfamily.

### 5.4.3 Gene duplication and palindrome formation

Notably, the phylogenetic clustering of MAGE-A genes differs from that of $M A G E-B$ genes (Fig. 5.1). Each of 11 human MAGE-B genes formed a monophyletic cluster with their orthologs from other eutherians, whereas $M A G E-A$ genes including 15 human genes, formed species- or taxon-specific clusters (Figs. 5.1 and 5.2). Moreover, three MAGE-C genes were likely primate-specific. Five $M A G E-E$ and $11 M A G E-D$ genes also showed a clustering pattern (one-to-one orthologous correspondence) similar to that of MAGE-B (Fig. 5.1).

All 16 human $M A G E-A$ genes were physically clustered into three blocks A, B, and
C on the X chromosome (Fig. 5.5A). Blocks A and B contain five (MAGE-A11, $-A 9,-A 9 B$, $-A 8$ and $p s M A G E A 7$ ) and ten (MAGE-A4, -A5, $-A 10,-A 6,-A 2 B,-A 2,-A 12,-A 3, p s M A G E A$ and $p s M A G E A L$ ) genes, respectively, whereas block C contains only a single gene (MAGE-Al) (Figs. 5.5B and C). Each of the three blocks contained a palindrome (Fig. 5.5C). In block B, most genes (six out of ten) are located on both arms of the palindrome (Fig. 5.5C); two nearly identical pairs of genes, $M A G E-A 2 / A 2 B$ and $-A 3 / A 6$, were located in symmetric positions on the arms (Figs. 5.5B and C), and MAGE-A12 was located in the loop. The phylogenetic relationship among 16 MAGE-A genes, including psMAGEAL (Fig. 5.5B, see Materials and Methods), revealed that five genes in block B were monophyletic, whereas a pair of $p s M A G E A / p s M A G E A L$ genes were distantly related to other $M A G E-A$ genes. $M A G E-D$ was used as the outgroup.

Human block B consisted of seven duplicate units. Each unit was $10-20 \mathrm{~kb}$ long and contained a MAGE-A and a chondrosarcoma associated gene (CSAGE) (Lin et al. 2002) (Fig. 5.6A). BLAST analysis of mammalian genomes also revealed the absence of CSAGE homologs in non-primate mammals. The palindrome in block B was not observed in non-primate genomes, such as the mouse, dog, or horse genome.

The block B was found in macaques (Fig. 5.6A). This block also contained seven duplicated units, but the form of the palindrome differed between the two species; unlike that observed in humans, a short stem and a large loop structure is expected in macaques (Fig. 5.6B). Further, the orthology of units between macaques and humans was curious given their positions. For convenience, we designated the seven duplicate units in block B as $h 1$ to $h 7$ in humans and $m l$ to $m 7$ in macaques (Fig. 5.5A) to help the examination of their phylogenetic
relationships (Fig. 5.5B). Units $h 1 / h 7$ each harbored $p s M A G E A L$ and $p s M A G E A$ genes, and were orthologous to $m 1 / m 7$. Units $h 3 / h 5$ each harbored $M A G E-A 2 / A 2 B$ genes and were orthologous to $m 5$ which harbored MAGE-A2; there is no partner to $m 5$ in macaques. Unit $h 4$ harbored MAGE-A12 and was orthologous to $m 3$, but unit $m 3$ did not possess a $M A G E$ gene (Fig. 5.6A).

The relationships among $h 2 / h 6, m 2, m 4$, and $m 6$ were somewhat confusing. The $p$-distance between $h 2$ and $h 6$ was $0.7 \pm 0.2 \%$, and the $p$-distances among $m 2$, $m 4$, and $m 6$ were much higher ( $12.1 \%$ ). The distances based on pairwise comparisons of these duplication units between humans and macaques ranged from $8.3 \pm 0.5(\%)$ to $17.7 \pm 0.7(\%)$, and these distances were too large to indicate orthologous relationships. This phylogeny did not support the hypothesis that $m 2, m 4$, or $m 6$ was orthologous to $h 2 / h 6$ (Fig. 5.6C).

To identify orthologous relationships among these duplicated units, cladistic markers such as SINEs and LINEs were sought using RepeatMasker software (Fig. 5.7, Smit 1996). In general, the arrangements of SINEs, LINEs, LTRs, and short repeats (SRs) in block B were relatively similar between the human and macaque genomes, although there appeared to be a species-specific region. The species-specific region was $\sim 40 \mathrm{~kb}$ long in humans, and it extended from the middle of $h 2$ to $h 4$. By contrast, it was $\sim 30 \mathrm{~kb}$ long in macaques, and it extended from the middle of $m 2$ to $m 4$. Unlike the result that phylogeny and genetic distances showed (Figs. 5.6C and 5.7), the cladistic markers showed that $h 2$ and $m 2$, which harbor human $M A G E-A 6$ and e macaque $M A G E 3 L$, respectively, are indeed orthologous to one another.

### 5.4.4 Human-specific palindrome and gene conversion

The dot-matrix analysis revealed that the palindrome in block B was apparent only in humans. Although there are sequencing gaps in chimpanzee and orangutan genome data, available sequences showed that the palindrome in block B was less evident in these two apes than in humans. Genes on palindromes may experience frequent gene conversion. Actually, the arms of one palindrome were almost identical based on a window analysis of 500 bp with a non-overlapping interval (Fig. 5.8). Furthermore, the program GENECONV also revealed evidence of gene conversion between arms for the majority of palindromes. However, in the middle of $h 2$ and of $h 6$, there was significantly higher sequence divergence ( $\mathrm{p}=\sim 2 \%, \mathrm{P}<$ 0.001 ) (Fig. 5.8). The highly diverged regions corresponds to a 673 bp region of the 5 ' ends of the MAGE-A3 and MAGE-A6 genes. To understand the biological significance of this region, we examined the distribution of of epitopes on MAGE proteins that bind HLA class I and II molecules (Fig. 5.9). Most type I MAGE proteins are expressed in tumor cells and have epitopes that bind HLA class I molecules, but some that are expressed in melanoma cells have epitopes that bind HLA class II molecules (van der Bruggen et al. 2002; Marsman et al. 2005; Crotez and Blum 2009). The highly variable 673 bp region of $M A G E-A 3$ and MAGE-A6 specifically encodes peptides that are recognized by HLA molecules (Fig. 5.9). Among 13 amino acid changes between MAGE-A3 and -A6, 10 substitutions are concentrated in this epitope-coding region of these genes. Therefore, the human MAGE-A3 and MAGE-A6 genes each encode a protein that can bind to multiple HLA variants (Fig. 5.9).

### 5.5 Discussion

### 5.5.1 The ancient origin of $M A G E$ genes (phase I)

The origin of $M A G E$ genes was ancient; $M A G E$ homologs were found in the tunicate and the lancelet genomes. Genes containing sequence that encode an MHD, which is found in MAGE proteins, have also been reported in insects (Põld et al. 2000; López-Sánchez et al. 2007). In fruit fries (Drosophila melanogaster), the gene (DrmeMAGE) plays a key role in neurogenesis (Nishimura, Sakoda, Yoshikawa 2008). The gene lacks an intron and could therefore be a processed gene. We searched for a DrmeMAGE copy with introns in the fly genome using FlyBase data (http://flybase.org/), but no candidate was found. We also carried out a TBLASTN search over the entire NCBI database. We found that the MHD encoded by DrmeMAGE had nearly $30 \%$ similarity with the MHDs encoded by vertebrate MAGE genes and that one of the epitope-coding regions in the human MAGE-B16 (FLWGPRAKAE, Põld et al. 2000) is identical to the fly MHD. However, DrmeMAGE is not expressed in tumor cells and it does not have a function as immunity antigens in the fly. MAGE homologs were also found in the Arabidopsis genome. Arabidopsis thaliana MAGE shares $25 \%$ similarity with human MAGE-A8, although the function of MAGE in Arabidopsis is unknown. Since our study showed that the $M A G E-A$ and $-B$ subfamilies diverged in eutherians, apparent similarity of $M A G E-A$ and $-B$ with $M A G E$ genes in insects and plants, respectively might result from convergence.

The conservation of phases in exons (Table 5.2) and synteny (Fig. 5.3) indicated that OrnaMAGEL is a 'primary' ortholog to MAGE-D2 or -D3 in humans. The ancestral

MAGE gene was probably most similar to extant $M A G E-D$ and was also probably a single-copy gene with introns until the divergence between monotremes and therians. The ancestral MAGE gene (MAGE-D) was located on an autosome in the stem lineage of mammals; that pair of autosome then evolve into a pair of sex chromosomes, and consequently, the $M A G E-D$ gene came X -linked in marsupials and eutherians. The MAGE-D3 gene encodes trophinin (TRO), is expressed in the placenta, and affects embryo implantation (Sugihara et al. 2007); these finding indicate that MAGE-D3 evolved its current function in eutherians.

The ancestral MAGE-D gene was on the proto-X chromosome in the platypus; therefore, the gene may have a gametolog on the extant Y chromosome. However, there are no MAGE homologs on the Y chromosomes of humans or other eutherians. The region syntenic to human Xp 11 is located near the tip of the opossum X chromosome. However, in many eutherians the regions syntenic to human Xp 11 are located near the centromere of the X chromosome. The ancestral region appears to have moved towards the centromere before the radiation of eutherians. This transposition on the X chromosome may have prevented pairing with the Y chromosome, leading to the loss of $M A G E$ from the Y chromosome.

### 5.5.2 Formation of multi-gene families by retrotransposition (phase II)

In eutherians, the $M A G E$ gene family comprises 10 subfamilies, and all of those subfamilies, except for the MAGE-D subfamily, comprise processed genes. Moreover, it is likely that the ancestral gene of eight subfamilies, $M A G E-A,-B,-E,-F,-H,-L 2, N D N$ and $N D N L 2$ subfamilies, were produced via retrotransposition events. Chomets et al. (2001) proposed that
the source for these eight retrotransposition events was a $M A G E-D$ gene. We attempted to confirm this hypothesis using the extent of similarity among CDSs of MAGE genes, but the CDS sequences were too short to conclude the ancestry of the processed genes.

At least eight retrotranspositional events may have been necessary to produce ancestors of each of eight extant $M A G E$ subfamilies early in eutherian evolution. The activation of reverse-transcriptase necessary for this transposition might have been provided by the activation of LINE elements at that time (Kim, Hong, and Rhyu 2004).

To be functional, any processed gene should gain promoter activity near the insertion site. MAGE-A, $-B$, and $-C$ are all expressed in cancer cells and in the testis. Sequence similarity beyond the CDS shows that MAGE-A and MAGE-C were produced by DNA-mediated gene duplication. In addition, the tumor types where $M A G E-A$ genes are expressed are similar to those where $M A G E-C$ genes are expressed, but different from those where MAGE-B genes are expressed (Lurquin et al. 1997; Lucas et al. 1998; Caballero and Chen 2009). Based on the similarity between MAGE- $A$ and MAGE-C gene expression, it is expected that the upstream region of $M A G E-A$ and $-C$ harbor similar TFB sequences and that these TFB were conserved in the upstream region after the gene duplication. In fact, the $\sim 400$ bp upstream region of the start codons of both $M A G E-A$ and $-C$ has potential TFBSs in common. Among several such TFBSs, transducers and activators of transcription factor STAT (TTCCCRKAA) and lymphoid transcription factor LYF (TTTGGGAGR) binding sites, which are known to act in cancer cells, are found (Yu et al. 1995; Winandy, Wu and Georgopoulos 1995).

### 5.5.3 Gene duplication and palindrome formation (phase III)

The high sequence similarity in the $5^{\prime}$ flanking regions of $M A G E-A$ genes, including possible regulatory, elements and the monophyly of the MAGE-A genes in the phylogeny (Figs. 5.1 and 5.4) indicate that $M A G E-A$ subfamily members most likely originated from DNA-mediated gene duplication. Nucleotide divergences among members (ranging from 10 to $15 \%$ ) show that most $M A G E-A$ genes emerged in the stem lineage of Catarrhini or even earlier. For this reason, orthologs of $M A G E-A$ genes might be present in New World monkeys as well. A database search for such orthologs revealed three sequences on contigs 7129,6382, and 5036 in the common marmoset genome (Callithrix jacchus, UCSC WUSTL version Callithrix jacchus-2.0.2) with greater than $80 \%$ similarity to $M A G E-A 2 / A 2 B, A 3 / A 6$ and $-A 12$. Moreover, three additional sequences on contig 880 and one sequence on contigs 1178 and 6382 also showed $76-79 \%$ similarity to several human MAGE genes. Thus, a total of eight $M A G E-A$ homologs were detected in the common marmoset genome. Although the genomic locations of these homologs are not yet known, the duplication events that produced the MAGE- $A$ genes probably took place in the stem lineage of simian primates.

It is worth noting that large palindromes on the Y chromosome also originated in the stem lineage of the Catarrhini or even earlier (Bohwmick, Satta, Takahata 2007). The eight palindromes on the human $Y$ chromosome have seven gene families in them. Although nucleotide sequences in symmetrical positions on the palindromic arms are nearly identical, gene family members in asymmetric positions show nucleotide divergences ranging from 5.9 $( \pm 1.0)$ to $13.9 \%( \pm 1.5)$. This range is similar to those observed between duplicated units in humans or macaques on the X chromosome. Therefore, these gene duplication events on the X and Y chromosome may have occurred simultaneously.

Unusual nucleotide substitutions between the human and macaque sequences on the palindromes require special attention. For example, the comparison between human $M A G E-A 3 / A 6$ and macaque $-A 3,-3 L$, and $-A 3 L$ genes reveal unusual nucleotide substitutions. The phylogeny of the CDSs of these genes indicates that they diverged in the stem lineage of the Catarrhini (Fig. 5.10), yet synonymous nucleotide differences of human MAGE-A3/A6 and macaque $-A 3,-3 L$, and $-A 3 L$ are exceptionally high ( $p=13.4 \pm 2.2 \%$, Table 5.3). The degree of functional constraint on newly duplicated genes may change, permitting frequent substitutions in CpG dinucleotides. And substitutions in CpG dinucleotides appear to have occurred in the present case as well. Among 315 codons in these MAGE genes, 45 codons contain CpG sites. If the latter codons are excluded, synonymous divergence decreases between human $A 3$ or $A 6$ and macaque $A 3,3 L$, or $A 3 L$ to $7.8 \pm 2.1 \%$ (Table 5.3, ranging from $6.4 \pm 1.8 \%$ to $9.3 \pm 2.4 \%$ ), which is not significantly different from the average divergence between human and macaque orthologs for genes on the X chromosome ( $5.5 \pm 0.3 \%$ ) (Elango et al. 2009). These results confirm orthology among human $M A G E-A 3 /-A 6$ and macaque $-A 3$, $-3 L$, and $-A 3 L$ genes. Importantly, the analysis of syntenic LINE and SINE insertions also clearly indicates one-to-one orthology between $M A G E-3 L$ in macaques and $M A G E-A 6$ in humans (Fig 5.10).

### 5.5.4 Human specificity in a palindrome (phase IV)

The overall sequence divergence among orthologous duplicate units in humans and macaques exceeds $10 \%$. Since both humans and macaques have seven duplicate units, it is assumed that five pairs of duplicate units had formed a palindrome in the ancestral genome (Fig. 5.11).

Under this assumption, the present arrangement of duplicate units suggests species- or lineage-specific deletions in a loop region of the palindrome (Fig. 5.11).

Further examination of nucleotide divergence between the palindrome arms in humans shows the presence of a significantly diverged region in the middle of MAGE-A3 and -A6 (Fig. 5.8). Four synonymous substitutions have accumulated at only CpG sites between MAGE-A3 and -A6, and 22 synonymous ones differentiate the human MAGE-A6 from the macaque MAGE-3L. If these 22 substitutions accumulated the during 35 million years (myr) of divergence between the two species (Takahata 2001; Hasegawa, Thorne, and Kishino 2003; Satta et al. 2004), then the accumulation of four substitutions corresponds to 6.4 myr ( $35 \mathrm{myr} \times 4 / 22$ ). This suggests that the divergence between $M A G E-A 3$ and $-A 6$ in humans occurred when the human and chimpanzee lineages diverged (7~6 MYA; Burnet et al. 2002). Although a one-to-one ortholog to human MAGE-A6 has not been identified in the chimpanzee genome, chimpanzee MAGE3 (a one-to-one ortholog to human MAGE-A3) apparently encodes a lower variety of epitopes than the human ortholog (Fig. 5.9). It is likely that the nucleotide differences between $M A G E-A 3$ and $-A 6$ have accumulated specifically in humans.

These findings lead to questions about the evolutionary forces generating and/or maintaining the diversity observed in human $M A G E-A 3$ and $-A 6$. Two alternative explanations are 1) Darwinian selection elevating nonsynonymous substitutions or 2) negative selection against homogenization by gene conversion. Considering the role of MAGE-A proteins in cancer immunity (van der Bruggen et al. 1991), a diversity of epitopes might be advantageous, and $M A G E-A$ might encode variable epitopes to maintain their ability to bind to HLA molecules, which are diverse. Alternatively, if gene conversion occurs in this
epitope-encoding region, as it does in other regions in arms, the diversity produced by point mutations is erased (Fig. 5.9). One way to prevent homogenization is to invoke negative selection against gene conversion, which may maintain diversity.

To distinguish these two possible causes, we examined relative substitution rates in $M A G E-A 3$ and $-A 6$ and their flanking region; we used the MAGE-A2 sequence as a reference. If Darwinian selection operates in the epitope-coding region, then nucleotide divergence in the epitope-coding region should be higher than in the remaining non epitope-coding region. However, the nonsynonymous substitution rate in nonsynonymous changes between $-A 2$ vs. $-A 3$ and $-A 2$ vs. $-A 6$ was not elevated. The same result was obtained using different MAGE-A genes as reference. Thus, the divergence between MAGE-A3 and -A6 was not generated by an elevated nonsynonymous substitution rate. This conclusion was also supported by the comparison of nonsynonymous and synonymous substitutions between MAGE-A3 and -A6 $\left(d_{N} / d_{S}=0.9, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$. Since the highly diverged epitopes between $-A 3$ and $-A 6$ are manifest, negative (purifying) selection against homogenization by gene conversion is more likely. A similar effect of negative selection has been observed in immunoglobulin genes (Nei and Rooney 2005).

### 5.5.5 Co-evolution between HLA and MAGE epitopes

The operation of negative selection strongly argues for co-evolution between $H L A$ and MAGE-A3 and/or -A6. This negative selection of primate MAGE-A genes may be associated with rapid turnover of $H L A$ class I loci in the primates (Sawai et al. 2004).

MAGE-A3 and $A 6$ encode seven different epitopes that bind HLA class I molecules,
and these epitopes can bind to HLA-A1, -A24, -A2, -B37, -B52, -B44, and -B35 molecule (Fig. 5.9). Curiously, in macaques, there are no corresponding allelic lineages producing these seven major histocompatibility complex (MHC: HLA homologs in macaques) molecules (data not shown). For this reason, the association between $M A G E$ genes and the $M H C$ in macaques may be different than the association between $M A G E$ genes and $H L A$ in humans.

The human-specific genetic diversification between $M A G E-A 3$ and $-A 6$ on the palindrome may have been associated with human evolution. Even after the divergence of the human and chimpanzee lineages, the ancestors of humans were arboreal. Subsequently, more recent ancestors left the forests and lived in savanna; even more recent ancestors lost their fur. The change in habitat likely resulted in direct exposure of the naked skin to strong ultra-violet (UV) light. Such exposure is known to increase the risk of tumors such as melanoma. As a means of protection against tumor progression, it is reasonable to imagine that MAGE-A3 and MAGE-A6 proteins with multiple HLA binding sites were favored by natural selection to enable appropriate HLA-mediated immunity.

### 5.6 Conclusion: Unique mode of evolution in the $M A G E$ gene family

There are many gene families in the human genome, and they are generated by DNA-mediated gene duplication and/or retrotransposition. Well-known examples of DNA-mediate gene duplication include emergence of the ribosomal RNA (rRNAs; Fedoroff 1979; Eickbush and Eickbush 2007) and the alpha and beta hemoglobin gene families(Fritsch, Lawn, and Maniatis 1980, Czelusniak et al. 1982). In the case of the rRNA genes, the
requirement for a large amount of gene products leads to the multiplication and homogenization of duplicated units. In contrast, sequence divergence of members in the hemoglobin gene family depends on the requirement for physiological differentiation of these proteins. This kind of functional diversification in a multi-gene family is quite common. As discussed in this paper, the multiplication of MAGE genes is mediated by both retrotransposition and DNA-mediated gene duplication. Some members of the family have been homogenized by gene conversion, whereas others have been subjected to negative selection against homogenization. The evolution of human MAGE genes appears to be determined by the local genomic environment, such as $L 1$ activity and palindrome formation, and by differentiation in gene function, such as maintaining variation in protein structure to facilitate cancer immunity.
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### 5.8 Figure Legends

Figure 5.1 Phylogeny of the MAGE gene family.
Coding sequences (CDSs) of 158 MAGE genes (see Table 1) were used. The CDS compared is 204 bp long without gaps. After alignment, all gaps were excluded for tree construction. Clusters of subfamilies are shown. The bootstrap values indicated refer to branches only. Fish NDNL2 (Dare NDNL2) and mammal NDNL2 are represented in blue. The abbreviation for species names are as follows: Bota (Bos taraus), Capo (Cavia porcellus), Dare (Danio rerio), Gaga (Gallus gallus), Hosa (Homo sapiens), Mamu (Macaca mulatta), Modo (Monodelphis domestica), Mumu (Mus musculus), Orna (Ornithorhynchus anatinus), and Patr (Pan troglodytes).

Figure 5.2 Schematic representation of the MAGE gene family diversification history. Each triangle indicates a subtree of the depicted subfamily. The bootstrap values indicated refer to branches only. Branch lengths are arbitrary, and they do not reflect evolutionary distances.

Figure 5.3 Synteny between platypus contig Ultra 430 and human $X$ chromosome Xp11. Red bars indicate MAGE or MAGEL genes in the human or platypus, respectively. Black bars and gene names indicate syntenic genes between human and platypus. Blue bars and gene names indicate genes that are not syntenic. Other MAGE-D subfamily members, MAGE-D1 and MAGE-D4 are located at 51.6 M and 51.9 M on the human X chromosome, respectively.

## Figure 5.4 Phylogeny of MHD in human MAGE genes.

The tree is based on the number of amino acid differences per site ( $p$-distances). The number of sites compared represent 92 residues without gaps. The bootstrap values indicated refer to branches only. All sequences are listed in Table 5.1. MAGE-E has duplicated MHD and the duplication of MHD has occurred earlier than the emergence of typeI genes. MAGEE1 (MAGEE2) and MAGEE1_2 (MAGEE2_2) represent the MHD at the N and C termini of MAGE-E1 (MAGE-E2), respectively.

Figure 5.5 Genomic structure and predicted palindromes in the 5 Mb region encoding the MAGE-A genes and a MAGE-A gene phylogeny.
(A) The 5 Mb region is divided into the three subregions, $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , which contain five, ten, and one MAGE-A gene(s), respectively. (B) The tree was constructed using the number of nucleotide differences ( $p$-distances) in CDSs (1916 bp) among 16 genes. The bootstrap values indicated refer to branches only. Bootstrap values greater than $50 \%$ are shown. Operational taxonomic units (OTU) in magenta, green, and blue represent genes in subregions $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , respectively. ( $C$ ) Three predicted palindromes are shown, one each in subregion $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C .

In subregion B, most of MAGE-A genes occur on palindrome arms.

Figure 5.6 Genomic structures, phylogeny, and predicted palindrome in subregion B. (A) The diagonal line in each panel from the left top to the right bottom indicates identity in self-comparison of human sequences (left panel) and macaque sequences (right panel). Gaps in the diagonal line in the right panel indicate data gaps in the macaque sequence. The colored boxes at the bottom of each panel indicate seven duplicate units. The same colored boxes within a species indicate that the units are more closely related to each other than to other units; similarly, units that are likely orthologs are indicated by shared coloring. (B) Predicted palindromes in subregion B were evident human (left) and macaque (right) sequences. The numbers beside the lines indicate each duplicate unit. (C) An NJ tree based on $p$-distances between duplicate units ( 2880 bp ) is shown. The color-coding of OTU is the same as that in (A) and (B).

Figure 5.7 Maps of cladistic markers in humans and macaques.
Green, light blue, red, dark blue, and purple triangles indicate interspersed elements (LINEs or SINEs), LTRs, DNA transposons (DNA-TP), and simple repeats (SR), respectively. Brackets under each line indicate duplicated units. Light pink arrows indicate palindrome structures. The light blue arrow indicates gaps in macaque sequence data. Letters $\mathrm{a} \sim 1$ and $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \sim \mathrm{i}$ ' on the triangles indicate orthologous insertion elements in the human and macaque genomes. The light green bar indicates a human- or macaque-specific region and dotted lines indicate the boundary between species-specific and orthologous regions.

Figure 5.8 Window analysis to assess nucleotide divergence between a pair of palindrome arms in the human genome.

Bars at the bottom of the figure indicate the locations of duplicate units and the MAGE genes therein. The ordinate represents nucleotide divergence ( $d$ ), and the abscissa represents position (bp) relative to the center of the loop (position zero, indicated by a blue arrow).

Figure 5.9 Alignments of MHD in the primate MAGE-A amino acid sequences. Based on references listed below, the MAGE-A epitopes for HLA alleles in humans are denoted by a square (magenta; human MHC class I, light blue; human MHC class II ). HLA alleles that recognize each epitope are indicated directly below the corresponding amino acid sequence. Of the 13 amino acid substitutions between MAGE-A3 and -A6, 11 are in this region and are marked by stars; only two substitutions (P303L, A308V) were outside of this region. The 10 substitutions that contribute to produce epitopes which are recognized by different HLA alleles (E115K, D156L, L175V, T199A, L201F, V205I, K211R, D249H/D249Y, L279V/L279I, H298R) are indicated by green stars. The other substitution within this region (indicated by a blue star; F239L) on MAGE-A3 and -A6 does not contribute to produce epitopes which are recognized by different HLA alleles.
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Figure 5.10 The phylogeny of six $M A G E-A$ genes from humans and macaques.
The NJ tree is based on synonymous differences among six MAGE-A CDSs; 314 sites were compared. The root was determined using MAGE-A4 as an outgroup. The macaque MAGE-A3 /3L pair was compared to the human MAGE-A3/A6 pair.

Figure 5.11 Rearrangements in the subregion $B$.
Schematic diagrams of duplicate units containing MAGE-A genes in four extant species and their hypothetical common ancestor are shown. Each colored box indicates a different duplicate unit as in Fig. 5A. Gray bars indicate gaps in sequence data. Colored triangles indicate that a deletion occurred at each position independently. Triangles of the same color on the chimpanzee and human diagrams indicates that a deletion occurred in a common ancestor. An arrowhead in each rectangle represents the direction of the fragment.

Figure 5.1 Phylogeny of the MAGE gene family.



## Figure 5.2

## Schematic representation of the MAGE gene family diversification history.
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Figure 5.3
Synteny between platypus contig Ultra 430 and human X chromosome Xp11.
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Figure 5.5
Genomic structure and palindrome prediction in the region ( 5 Mb ) encoding MAGE-A genes, along with their phylogeny.
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Figure 5.6
Genomic structures, phylogeny and predicted palindromes in subregion B.
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Figure 5.7
Maps of cladistic markers in humans and macaques.


Figure 5.8
Window analysis of nucleotide divergence between a pair of palindrome arms in the human genome.


Figure 5.9
Alignments of primate MAGE-A amino acid sequences for an epitope
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Figure 5.11
Rearrangements in the subregion $B$.

Table 5.1
Accession numbers of nulceotide sequences used in this study.

| humans |  | chimpanzees |  | mice |  | bovines |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAGEA1 | NM_004988 | MAGEA 1 like | XM_529226 | MAGEA1 | NM_020015 | MAGEB5like | XM_001251181 |
| MAGEA2 | NM_005361 | MAGE-3 | XM_001136905 | MAGEA2 | NM_020016 | MAGEA9like | XM_603753 |
| MAGEA2B | NM_153488 | MAGEA4like | XM_521309 | MAGEA3 | NM_020017 | MAGEA9B | XM_603753 |
| MAGEA3 | NM_005362 | MAGEA8 | XM_529192 | MAGEA4 | BC104089 | MAGEA10like | NC_007331 |
| MAGEA4 | NM_001011548 | MAGE-9like | XM_529190 | MAGEA5 | NM_020018 | MAGEA11 | NM_001080732 |
| MAGEA5 | NM_021049 | MAGE-10 | XM_521312 | MAGEA6 | NM_020019 | MAGEB16like | XM_586788 |
| MAGEA6 | NM_005363 | MAGEA11 like | XM_521299 | MAGEA7 | XM_001481307 | MAGEB2like | XM_001789276 |
| psMAGEA7 | NG_001156 | MAGEA12 | XM_521314 | MAGEA8 | NM_020020 | MAGEB3like | XM_586930 |
| MAGEA8 | NM_005364 | rhesus macaques |  | MAGEA9 | BC116353 | MAGEB4like | XM_001256490 |
| MAGEA9 | NM_005365 | MAGE-3L | XM_001100355 | MAGEA10 | NM_001085506 | MAGEB10 | XM_608078 |
| MAGEA9B | NM_001080790 | MAGEA3 | XM_001094934 | MAGEB1 | NM_010759 | MAGEB18like | XM_602824 |
| MAGEA10 | NM_001011543 | MAGEA3L | XM_001094083 | MAGEB2 | NM_031171 | MAGEE2 | BT030739 |
| MAGEA11 | NM_005366 | MAGE-4like | XM_001099496 | MAGEB3 | NM_008545 | MAGEF1 | NM_030801 |
| MAGEA12 | NM_005367 | MAGEA9like | XM_001089793 | MAGEB5 | BC116773 | MAGEH1 | NM_001080728 |
| psMAGEA | NC_000023 | MAGEA10 | XM_001099898 | psMAGEB7 | NM_001101595 | NDN | BT020845 |
| MAGEB1 | NM_002363 | MAGEA11 | XM_001089907 | psMAGEB8 | NM_001101541 | NDNL2 | NM_001078080 |
| MAGEB2 | NM_002364 | opposums |  | MAGEb9 | XM_141933 | MAGEL2like | XM_581873 |
| MAGEB3 | NM_002365 | MAGEL1 | XM_001373641 | MAGEB16 | XM_135953 | MAGED1 | NM_001046125 |
| MAGEB4 | NM_001033492 | MAGEL2 | ENSMODT00000021264 | MAGEB18 | NM_173783 | MAGED2 | NM_001075665 |
| MAGEB5 | XM_293407 | platypuses |  | MAGEE1 | NM_053201 | MAGED4like | NM_001103311 |
| MAGEB6 | NM_173523 | MAGEL1 | XM_001510461 | MAGEE2 | BC138210 |  |  |
| MAGEB10 | NM_182506 | zeblafishes |  | MAGEH1 | BC060080 |  |  |
| MAGEB16 | XM_001099921 | NDNL2 | NM_198812 | NDN | NM_010882 |  |  |
| MAGEB17 | XM_001130425 | guiana pigs |  | NDNL2 | NM_030801 |  |  |
| MAGEB18 | NM_173699 | MAGEClike | ENSCPOT00000023751 | MAGEL2 | NM_019066 |  |  |
| MAGEC1 | NM_005462 |  |  | MAGEL2 | BC054763 |  |  |
| MAGEC2 | NG_015872 |  |  | MAGED1 | NM_019791 |  |  |
| MAGEC3 | NM_138702 |  |  | TRO | NM_001002272 |  |  |
| MAGEE1 | NM_020932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGEE2 | NM_138703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGEF1 | NM_022149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGEH1 | NM_014061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NDN | NM_002487 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NDNL2 | NM_138704 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGEL2 | NM_019066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGED1 | NM_001005333 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGED2 | BC000304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRO | NM_001039705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGED4 | NM_001098800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAGED4B | NM_030801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 5.2

Phases at exons in the MAGE coding sequence of zebrafishes, African clawed frogs, chickens and mammals.

| Exon: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (64) |  | (80) |  |  | 95) |  | (30) |  |  | (4) |  | 63) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase: |  | E | S |  | E | S | E | S | E | S | E |  | E | S | E | E | S | E | S | E | E | S | E | S | E |
| zebra fish | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Frog |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chicken | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Platypus |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Opossum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| human (D2) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |
| human (D3) | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - |

a: Only protein coding exons are shown. Numbers in parentheses indicate the size of exons that are conserved from fishes to mammals. Exceptions are exon 6 in opossum and human $D 3$; exon size is 98 bp and 92 bp , respectively. S: start, and E : end.

Phase information for each species is ENSDART000000081038 for the zebra fish, ENSXETT000000047694 for the frog, DQ983362 for the chicken, NW_001794330 for the platypus, NW_001587054 for the opossum, ENST00000375068 for human D2, and ENST00000173898 for human D3. S: a phase for a codon at beginning of each exon. E: a phase for a codon at ending of each exon.

## Chapter 6

## General discussion

### 6.1 General discussion

In the studies presented in chapter 2-5, I attempted to figure out the evolutionary history of SD systems in mammals. In chapter 2, I showed the rapid evolution and functional differentiation of the primary sex-determination gene, SRY, in Theria. In chapter 3, I report on the evolutionary process that gave rise to therian sex chromosomes. In chapter 4 and 5, I present data indicated that the mammalian X-chromosome was subject to rapid evolutionary change, including events such as chromosomal rearrangement, accumulation of segmental duplications, and formation of and diversification within a gene family.

Here, I compare the SD systems in mammals with those in non-mammalian vertebrates. The evolution of primary sex-determination genes is remarkably dynamic in fishes and amphibians, as is the case with the mammalian $S R Y$ gene. In medaka (Oryzias latipes), $D M Y$ is a primary male-determination factor on the Y chromosome (Matsuda et al. 2002). In Xenopus laevis, $D M-W$ is a primary female-determination factor on the W chromosome (Yoshimoto et al. 2008). $D M Y$ and $D M-W$ were duplicated from DMRT1 independently (Tanaka et al. 2007; Bewick, Anderson and Evans 2010).

## Chapter 7

## General Conclusion

In SD systems, both evolutionary flexibility and stability were observed. The flexibility in SD systems was evident in primary SD genes, which varied significantly among organisms; the biological significance of this variation might be environmental adaptation. The SD genes can maintain plasticity; for example, females or males can be determined by one SD gene because a dysfunction of or deleterious mutation in an SD gene induces sex reversal. Alternatively, the genes on sex chromosome are generally less stable than autosomal genes because of frequent pseudogenization, deletion, retrotransposition, or insertion of transposon, and, therefore, the primary SD gene might be also unstable. Other than the primary SD genes, components in SD systems (or cascades) are evolutionarily stable, this stability indicates that there are strong functional constraints on these systems; the consequence of these constraints may be reliable testicular and ovarian development and gamete production. If a gene at a downstream position in the SD cascade was disrupted by deletion or mutation, the individual would be unviable or infertile in many cases because genes in the cascade have an essential function in gonadogenesis or are pleiotropic.

The segmental duplication or genomic structure on sex chromosomes changed

